1	The Board of Directors of the Albemarle County Service Authority (ACSA)
2	met in a regular session on March 20, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. at the
3	Administration and Operations Center at 168 Spotnap Road in
4	Charlottesville, Virginia.
5	Members Present: Mr. Richard Armstrong; Ms. Lizbeth Palmer; Mr. John
6	Parcells; Mr. Clarence Roberts; Mr. Charles Tolbert; Ms. Kimberly Swanson.
7	Members Absent: None.
8	Staff Present: Kenny Barrow, Jennifer Bryant, Deanna Davenport, Mike
9	Derdeyn, Tonya Foster, Tanya Johnson, John Lewter, Quin Lunsford,
10	Jeremy Lynn, Alex Morrison, Emily Roach, Danielle Trent, April Walker,
11	Theresa Whiting.
12	Staff Absent: None.
13	Public Present: Stephanie Foster, Albemarle County Citizen.
14	
15	1. Call to Order and Establish a Quorum – Statement of Board Chair
16	The Chair called the meeting to order. He then read the opening
17	Board Chair statement (Attached as Page), and a quorum was
18	established.
19	
20	2. Recognitions - Tonya Foster, 35 Years of Service; Jeremy Lynn,
21	Darden Executive Education Program
22	Tanya Johnson, Director of Finance, came forward to share a few
23	words. She stated that today, Tonya Foster was being recognized for 35
24	years of dedication, hard work, and commitment to the ACSA. She stated
25	that for over three decades, Ms. Foster has been a vital part of keeping the
26	ACSA's financial operations running smoothly and ensuring the efficiency of
27	procurement processes. She mentioned that Tonya's attention to detail,
28	reliability, and deep institutional knowledge have been invaluable to the
29	organization's success. She noted that Ms. Foster's dedication and expertise
30	have not only helped to build a strong foundation for the ACSA but have also
31	set an example for those around her. She added that, on behalf of the ACSA,

she sincerely thanks Ms. Foster for her hard work, commitment, and the impact she has made over the years.

Mr. Armstrong read the recognition resolution in honor of Ms. Foster.

Mr. Parcells moved to approve the recognition resolution; seconded by Mr. Tolbert. All members voted aye.

Quin Lunsford came forward to present the recognition for Mr. Lynn. He stated that the ACSA's Strategic Plan emphasizes continuing education and professional development for employees. He stated that Jeremy Lynn pursued and recently earned a Certificate of Leadership in Management from the University of Virginia's Darden School of Business, through their Executive Education program. He noted that the program required significant effort and time. He stated that it was a four-week program over the course of a few years and focused on the demands of responsible leadership through collaboration with professional peers and Darden faculty.

Mr. Lunsford stated that some of the courses that Mr. Lynn took were servant leadership, which focused on leading with humility, collaboration and influence, leading mindfully, and financial management for non-financial executives. He stated that the staff is appreciative that the ACSA Board provides the opportunity for employees to pursue opportunities like this. He congratulated Mr. Lynn and thanked him for his dedication. Mr. Lynn added that he wanted to thank the Board for their support.

3. Approve Minutes of January 16, 2025

Mr. Parcells stated that he had one correction on page 10 of the Board packet, or page 6 of the minutes. He stated that he wanted to get clarity on the paragraph beginning on line 3, where Ms. Palmer is discussing the cost for the risk assessment. He noted the incomplete sentence. He also stated that further down the page, Mr. Morrison explains that if the assessment was done for wastewater only, the cost would increase significantly because of the background work that has to occur. He noted,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

however, that Mr. Morrison goes on to say that there is a lot of carryover between assets, thus adding the wastewater was a small addition. He stated that he was confused and asked if the ultimate cost is less than the sum of the two.

Mr. Morrison stated that there is a lot of synergy in the background work that must be completed. He stated that a lot of the background work is completed on the water side so essentially, it is already completed on the sewer side as well. He mentioned that this just leaves some additional analyses to complete the risk assessment. Mr. Parcells asked if that was why it would make sense to complete the assessment for the sewer as well. Mr. Morrison replied yes.

Mr. Parcells stated that he also had a question regarding the discussion about generators on page 14 of the minutes. He stated that Mr. Morrison said there were two locations, the Northfield Water Pump Station and the Madison Office Park Pump Station, that do not have permanent generators. He stated, however, that Mr. Morrison implied that fuel is kept on site for generators. He asked if the fuel was for temporary generators that are kept on site. Mr. Morrison replied that for Northfield, there is a trailermounted generator on site. He noted that this station boosts the pressure from the surrounding Urban ring so if it goes offline, they can maintain a slightly lower pressure within that band and avoid a disruption in service. Mr. Parcells asked if they maintain service by using the trailer-mounted generator. Mr. Morrison stated that if the station went offline and there was no generator hooked up, the area would remain in service at a lower pressure. He added that with the trailer-mounted generator, if there is an issue with the grid power there is a guick connect to run the station on generator power.

Mr. Parcells asked what the advantage is of having a trailer-mounted generator at the Northfields Pump Station and not a permanent one. Mr. Morrison replied that the trailer-mounted generator gives flexibility for tertiary coverage at other pump stations. He noted that Northfields is just one of the

locations where they keep one. He added that they have not felt it prudent to go through the expense of putting in a permanent generator at that station because they can maintain service at a lower pressure if there is a disruption, before they hook up the generator.

Mr. Parcells asked about the Madison Office Park Pump Station. Mr. Morrison replied that they have the ability to hook up a portable generator at that station. He noted that the station only serves one commercial building, so wastewater flows are Monday-Friday, 8 am to 5pm. Mr. Lynn added that the neighboring Old Ivy Residences is a development of 500 units, which will be contributing flow the upgraded Madison Office Park Pump Station. He stated that one of the conditions of that approval was to have the developer fund, construct, and install a permanent generator at the upgraded pump station.

Ms. Swanson stated that on page 18 of the minutes, or page 22 of the Board packet, there was a comment about the not so positive feedback on the ACSA's social media post about the Avon Operations Center. She asked if there was any follow-up with those people and if they have been satisfied with the responses they received. Mr. Morrison replied that the ACSA did respond and messaged those individuals privately, to continue the conversations with specifics. He mentioned that two of the three people reached out and were provided with the information requested, and they had no further questions.

Ms. Palmer stated that there was a discussion last month about the budget and items that were added to the CIP late. She stated that Mr. Lynn mentioned the Galaxie Farm situation as a good example of things that they do not find out about until later in the process, like the upper elementary school at Mountain View. She stated that the plan for the school was presented publicly in December 2022, although the project did not go out to bid until October 2024. She asked at what point does the ACSA decide to move forward with a project and if there is room for improvement in their communication with the schools and/or the County.

Mr. Lynn replied that the ACSA may see conceptual plans much earlier in the process. He stated, however, that until the applicant submits utility drawings to show the infrastructure that they are proposing, the ACSA does not have a good sense of how close they will be to a connection or neighboring development. He noted that if the ACSA had envisioned the connection with the school sooner, they still may have underestimated the extent of utility that needed to be constructed to make the interconnect to Galaxie Farms. He added that the ACSA is becoming more in line with the County's review process through their new enterprise permitting system. He stated that the ACSA's recent update to the construction specifications also calls for redundant feeds and secondary connections so had the specifications been in place before this project, the requirement would have fallen on the school system.

Ms. Palmer asked if in this case, the ACSA had to wait for final engineering and plans to include it in the CIP. Mr. Lynn replied that this was correct. He stated that they did not know where the water and sewer utilities were being proposed until they submit the plans for review, which is usually later in the process during the final site plan stage. Ms. Palmer stated that final site plans are far into the process. Mr. Lynn replied that they can be. He stated that they also try to look at rezoning and initial site plans to see if they can recognize the potential interconnects. He mentioned that with this year's proposed CIP budget, the annual repair and replacement line item has been increased to account for some of the interconnections that may be identified during the typical plan review process.

Mr. Parcells moved to approve the minutes of January 16, 2025; seconded by Ms. Palmer. All members voted aye except for Mr. Tolbert who abstained from voting due to his absence at the last meeting.

4. <u>Matters from the Public</u>

There were no matters from the public.

5. Response to Public Comment

There was no response to public comment.

3 4

1

2

6. Consent Agenda

- 5 6 7
- 8 9
- 10 11 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19 20
- 21 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26 27
- 28
- 29
- 30 31

- a. Monthly Financial Reports Ms. Swanson stated that she had a
- question about the Water and Sewer Report on page 39. She noted under the billed consumption for selected customers that there was a customer listed as PR Charger C'ville Holdings and asked what that
 - was. Ms. Knight replied that it was the owner of an apartment complex.
- b. Monthly Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Report Mr. Parcells stated that he had a comment about the Briarwood Water Main Replacement project summarized on page 67. He stated that he was struck by the phrase "the ACSA rejected all bids as a result of being over-budget and due to lack of compliance with the 3% limitation on mobilization costs." He stated that there have been times when the bids have been over-budget, but the ACSA compensated.
 - Mr. Lynn replied that the apparent low bidder exceeded the 3% limitation on mobilization costs, thus they were not considered a responsible bidder. He stated that this left the ACSA with one bidder to evaluate, and they were significantly above where they felt the project should land cost-wise. He mentioned that the staff decided that the best approach was to rebid the project and adjust the budget, as well as identify ways to attract more bidders to the project. He stated that one of those ways is to be less stringent with the expected start date, which was one of the concerns they heard during the bid period. He added that they hope to get more bidders by relaxing that requirement.
 - Mr. Parcells asked if the project has been advertised, as of today. Mr. Lynn replied that it is out for advertisement and there should be bid information to share with the Board at the next meeting. Mr. Parcells asked how much over budget the bidder was, and how much the ACSA has adjusted. Mr. Lynn replied that the proposed budget will increase

the project from \$2.7 million to \$4.1 million. He noted that the two bids they received came in at \$3.4 million and \$4.4 million.

Mr. Parcells stated that there was a remark made about RWSA increasing their CIP by 20%. He asked if this was a similar situation in terms of increasing the construction costs. Mr. Lynn replied that the numbers for the Briarwood project were good, except for pavement restoration which was significantly higher than the ACSA's engineer estimate, and work associated with making connections from the new service back into the old service. He noted that the ACSA is seeing increased costs, but there has also been a decrease in interest in some of the projects. He stated that they are having a harder time attracting local contractors to bid on projects. He added that one of the two bidders for the Briarwood project was from Alexandria, VA. He stated that in speaking with some of the local contractors the ACSA has worked with in the past, they mentioned that there is a lot of local development underway and they have scaled back their work force. Ms. Palmer asked if they scaled back their work force because they cannot find workers. Mr. Lynn replied that he suspects it is because they are having a hard time finding qualified laborers.

- c. Monthly Maintenance Update -
- d. IT Monthly Update -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

e. Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) Monthly Update – Ms. Palmer stated that she had a question about the Stillhouse Water Line Erosion at Ivy Creek on page107. She asked if RWSA provided the ACSA with a map, or if Mr. Lynn knew exactly where this line is located. Mr. Lynn stated that he thinks it is north of the neighborhood at Broomley Road into Ivy Creek. He stated that RWSA has been discussing use of one of the ACSA's easements as an access point, thus he suspects it is in that area east of the Flordon subdivision. Ms. Palmer asked if he knew where the line goes. Mr. Lynn replied that the Stillhouse system begins right at the back door of the South Rivanna filter plant. He mentioned

Mr. Parcells. All members voted aye.

	Ms. Palmer moved to approve the consent agenda, seconded by
g.	Fix-A-Leak Week Water Conservation Event –
f.	ACSA Board Policy Future Issues Agenda 2025
	entrance of West Leigh.
	transmission main for the whole Ivy area, until it terminates at the
	Stillhouse tank. He noted that from there it goes west and is the
	that the Stillhouse Pump Station pumps to Barracks Road to the

7. Proposed FY 2026 Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Presentation

Mr. Lynn stated that on behalf of the ACSA's CIP team, he is pleased to introduce the Proposed FY 2026 CIP budget. He stated that the CIP team is comprised of the ACSA's four staff engineers, Richard Nelson, Justin Weiler, John Anderson, and Keane Rucker, the Director of Operations Alex Morrison, and the three maintenance supervisors Roland Bega, Billy Defibaugh, and Robert Lawson. He mentioned that the team meets every couple of weeks and has been working very hard over the last few months to prepare the CIP budget. He added that he believes this new CIP budget continues the high quality of service that ACSA customers have come to expect.

Mr. Lynn stated that he will begin his presentation this morning with some highlights from the proposed FY 2026 CIP budget, followed by an introduction to the three new projects that have been added this year. He stated that he would also discuss five projects that are anticipated to be under construction in FY 2026, followed by the next steps in the budget process. He encouraged the Board to ask any questions they have along the way.

Mr. Lynn moved to the first slide to share some highlights from the proposed FY 2026 CIP budget. He stated that this is the largest budget he has seen in his 21 years with the ACSA, at \$18.5 million. He noted the percentage breakdown by project type, with non-utility/facility projects being

high this year due to the Avon Operations Center. He stated that there are three new projects, which only make up approximately 2% of the budget, or \$360,000. He stated that those new projects are the Albemarle High School AC Water Main Replacement, the ArcFlash Hazard Assessment Update, and the Emergency Response Plan (ERP) Update.

Mr. Lynn moved to the next slide outlining the first new project – the Albemarle High School AC Water Main Replacement. He stated that during the design of the County's Center II project, the ACSA identified an opportunity to work collaboratively with the school system to replace close to 1,300 feet of water main. He noted that the purple portion shown on the map is the portion that the County is going to fund, and the ACSA will be funding the magenta-colored portion along the roadway and back towards the tennis courts. He noted that construction has begun on the school with a couple of buildings already demolished, so the ACSA anticipates construction of the water main project in FY 2026. He added that \$200,000 has been included in the proposed FY 2026 CIP budget.

Mr. Parcells asked if the purple line on the map is new. Mr. Lynn replied yes. He stated that the current line goes through the building footprint. He stated that the magenta line is original to the school, and the ACSA will be performing a parallel replacement. He noted that the design is incorporated in the bid, and it included that replacement. Mr. Parcells asked if the line would be ductile iron. Mr. Lynn replied that it will be ductile iron replacing asbestos cement.

Ms. Swanson asked if the ACSA staff has seen the master plan for the Lambs Lane campus and the new road that is going to be constructed. She stated that if approved, the new road will go behind the track and asked if they knew the timing of that project and how it would play out with regards to the ACSA's project. Mr. Lynn replied that he believes the new road is going to go between the track and the baseball field. He noted that so far, they have only seen big-picture conceptual plans. He stated that they will

get to the point where they see construction drawings and they may need some water lines through there, which the ACSA will work with them on.

Mr. Lynn stated that the second new project is the ArcFlash Hazard Assessment Update. He stated that the ACSA will be looking at all its pump station facilities and tanks and perform an update to the current assessment. He mentioned that this will provide the staff with recommendations on work procedures and necessary personal protective equipment (PPE) for staff to wear when working on those facilities.

Mr. Parcells stated that he is surprised that the assessment is occurring now as opposed to when the electric equipment was installed. Mr. Lynn replied that the ACSA has already had an assessment done. He noted that the industry standard is to update the assessment every five years or when significant changes occur. He stated that the ACSA is past the five-year mark, thus they need to update the assessment. He noted that there is \$80,000 in the FY 2026 proposed CIP budget for this project, which will be split between water and sewer. Mr. Parcells asked who performs the assessment. Mr. Lynn replied that it will be done by an outside consultant.

Ms. Swanson asked for Mr. Lynn to remind her of what this is. Mr. Lynn replied that it is for when an employee is working in an electrical cabinet inside the pump station. He stated that the goal is to provide protective measures for ACSA workers and depending on the electrical hazard identified, there are varying levels of personal protective equipment that must be worn. Mr. Parcells stated that he had a related anecdote from his time working at Merck. He stated that a contract worker entered one of the switch gear boxes without the proper PPE and he was significantly burned.

Ms. Swanson asked if the ACSA had employees that are electricians. Mr. Lynn replied that there is a vacancy for that position and the job is currently being advertised. Mr. Morrison added that the electrician position requires a Journeyman's license. Ms. Swanson asked if that is something that the ACSA sponsors. Mr. Morrison replied that applicants are expected

to already have their Journeyman's license upon hiring. Mr. Roberts asked what the difference is between having a Journeyman's license and being a master electrician. Mr. Morrison stated that he did not know but could look into it.

Mr. Lynn moved to the next slide outlining the last new project for the proposed FY 2026 CIP budget, which is an update to the ACSA's Emergency Response Plan (ERP). He stated that in 2018, the America's Water Infrastructure Act (AWIA) was passed and requires water utilities to develop or update their ERPs. He mentioned that based on the size of the population the ACSA serves, the deadline to complete the update is June 30, 2026. He stated that they will probably transition from the Risk and Resiliency Assessment right into the ERP update with the consultant. He noted that \$80,000 is included in the proposed budget for this project, which will be split between water and sewer.

Mr. Lynn stated that he would now highlight some of the big projects that are already under construction, or that they believe will be in the upcoming fiscal year. He stated that the first of these is the Avon Operations Center outlined on the next slide, which consumes a good portion of the budget. He stated that this project aligns with one of the ACSA's Strategic Plan pillars – business resilience. He noted that the ACSA is being booted out of its storage space in Crozet with the upcoming GAC expansion at the filter plant. He stated that the Avon site will offer additional storage facilities, maintenance space, a secondary fueling facility, and a training area for equipment operators. He added that construction is underway and \$7.1 million is anticipated for this project in FY 2026. He stated that the overall budget is \$18,000,000.

Ms. Swanson asked about stormwater. Mr. Lynn replied that there is a stormwater management facility on the property. He stated that it would be on the lower side, where the snow is pictured on the slide.

Mr. Lynn moved to the next slide to discuss the Briarwood Water Main Replacement project, which he mentioned is currently out for rebid.

He stated that this project speaks to business resilience and customer experience, two pillars of the ACSA's Strategic Plan. He mentioned that ACSA customers have not had a great experience with their water service in this area over the last couple of years. He noted that there have been several water main breaks, inconveniences, and costly repairs so they are pushing hard to get this project underway. He stated that construction should take place in FY 2026. He noted that the budget for this project is being increased from about \$2.7 million to \$4.1 million. He added that there is \$2,370,000 in the FY 2026 budget for this project.

Ms. Swanson asked if the people that attend the meeting felt satisfied with the ACSA's follow-up. Mr. Lynn replied that he felt it was a very successful meeting. He mentioned that one of them came by the office not too long ago, expressing their appreciation for the ACSA moving forward with the project.

Mr. Lynn stated that the next project was the Ragged Mountain Phase I Water Main Replacement project. He stated that the yellow line on the map shows what will be replaced. He stated that the water main is cast iron and over 90 years old. He mentioned that the ACSA is coordinating with both RWSA and VDOT to complete this project. He stated that the ACSA learned in the last month that VDOT will be moving forward with their bridge replacement project and would like to advertise in June. He noted that part of their project includes a casing pipe over the box culvert. He stated that once that is in, the ACSA will be able to make the connection to Buckingham Circle. He stated that most of the orange line on the map, primarily the portion under the bypass, will be installed with RWSA's raw water main project.

Mr. Parcells asked if the orange line going under 29 North is the portion of the project that the ACSA will be collaborating with RWSA to complete. Mr. Lynn replied yes. He stated that RWSA's 30" raw water main runs parallel to the finished water main. Mr. Parcells asked if the VDOT bridge project is closer to the PRV station. Mr. Lynn replied yes. He stated

that this project will consist of three pieces. He mentioned that the first would be the portion that the ACSA is working with RWSA on, the second would be VDOT's portion, and the third will be the final tie-in done by the ACSA once VDOT has their casing pipe in. He noted that the magenta line on the map will not be replaced as it will be abandoned.

Mr. Parcells stated that the picture of the parking lot on the map is a huge construction area, and a lot of the trees on the right side of Resource Drive have been cut down. He stated that he assumes the connection is not part of the construction taking place so there is no interference. Mr. Lynn replied no, there is no interference. He stated that RWSA has been intentional about coordinating with UVA for their pipeline because of the parking garage structure that is being built along Fontaine Avenue. Mr. Parcells asked how deep the main would be. Mr. Lynn replied probably about 4-5 feet deep.

Ms. Swanson asked if the new energy plant on Fontaine would be served by water. Mr. Lynn replied that it would be served by water. Ms. Swanson asked if they would be customers of the City of Charlottesville or Albemarle County. Mr. Lynn replied that they would be an ACSA customer.

Ms. Palmer asked if the new pump station that RWSA is building to take water from the reservoir to Observatory Hill would be just off Fontaine. Mr. Lynn replied that it is at Foxhaven Farm, right off Reservoir Road. Ms. Palmer stated that when RWSA put the line in along the golf course, there was some flooding into Bellaire, so she wanted to make sure it was not near Bellaire. Mr. Lynn replied that it is right along the road, across from the Regents School.

Mr. Parcells stated that in looking at the Ragged Mountain project in the ACSA's CIP versus the new number, there is a \$140,000 difference. He noted that with a number of the projects, there are different total budgeted amounts compared to what was posted earlier in the CIP. Mr. Lynn asked if Mr. Parcells was referring to the budget numbers in the monthly CIP reports. Mr. Parcells replied yes. Mr. Lynn stated that the monthly report

numbers are current adopted budget numbers for FY 2025. He stated that they have not been updated to reflect the proposed FY 2026 budget numbers because they have not yet been adopted. Mr. Parcells asked if the totals in today's presentation were new budget numbers that have been updated. Mr. Lynn replied that they have been updated due to things like change of scope, updated budgetary numbers, or updated estimates. Mr. Parcells asked if they would see the CIP projects listed with the new budget numbers at the April meeting. Mr. Lynn replied that he would prefer to wait until the budget has been adopted and then list the new budget numbers in July. He noted that these numbers are new, but they have not been adopted.

Mr. Lynn moved to the next slide outlining the Townwood Water Main Replacement project. He stated that these customers have also been experiencing service disruptions due to failed pipe services. He stated that the ACSA staff is currently working on easement acquisitions, with most of the easements being from the HOA. He mentioned that there is \$3 million in the proposed FY 2026 budget for this project, with a total project budget of \$3.2 million.

Mr. Lynn stated that the last project he wanted to highlight is the Barracks West Water Main Replacement project. He stated that this development dates to the 1960s, and they are looking to replace the original cast iron and galvanized water mains. He mentioned that they are also looking to increase the fire protection by adding fire hydrants. He noted that there is almost \$100,000 included in the budget for FY 2026, with a total project budget of \$3.5 million.

Ms. Swanson asked if these apartments were sold. Mr. Lynn replied that they just recently switched owners, and the ACSA is working with the current owner. He stated that there was a pause on the deal, but they are now the owner, and they have had good conversations about pavement restoration expectations. He mentioned that he feels they are in a good spot and should be able to move forward with the project.

Mr. Lynn stated that in terms of next steps, the ACSA would like authorization from the Board to advertise for a public hearing to address the proposed FY 2026 CIP budget. He stated that the public hearing would take place at the April 17, 2025, Board meeting, which will include a presentation on all CIP projects in the FY 2026 budget. He stated that there would then be a workshop for the FY 2026 budget and rates at the May Board meeting, followed by adoption of the budget, rates, and CIP at the June meeting.

Mr. Tolbert stated that he had a question on the textual material provided ahead of the presentation. He asked if the new billing system that will be part of the Customer Information System (CIS) Replacement will affect how customers pay their bills. Mr. Lunsford replied that it could. Mr. Tolbert stated he thinks the ACSA's current billing system is crappy and should be fixed. Mr. Lunsford stated that the ACSA's current billing system is only designed to bill. He noted that most billing systems today are customer information systems that are designed to not only bill but also track customer-specific information and share useful data with more people. He noted that modern customer information systems are much friendlier for customer payment and information gathering. Mr. Tolbert stated that the current system knows his account number because that is how it recognizes him, but every time he pays his bill, he has to enter his phone number and email information, which it already knows as well. He stated that he has to do this every month, and it is ridiculous.

Mr. Parcells asked what the status is for the developer participation line item in the budget for FY 2025. Mr. Lynn replied that at this point, there have been no oversizing projects identified. He noted that oversizing agreements will always be brought before the Board as it is an agreement with the developer. He added that the funds may not be used one year, and another year the budget may exceed \$100,000.

Mr. Tolbert moved to authorize the advertisement for a public hearing to address the FY 2026 CIP at 9:00 a.m. on Thursday, April 17, 2025; seconded by Ms. Palmer. The Chair asked for a roll-call vote: Mr.

Parcells, aye; Ms. Palmer, aye; Mr. Tolbert, aye; Mr. Armstrong, aye; Mr. Roberts, aye; Ms. Swanson, aye.

8. ACSA Toilet Rebate Program

Ms. Roach shared a video presentation with the Board highlighting the old toilet rebate program, why the ACSA is making changes, the new program, and customer communication and education on the new program.

Ms. Swanson asked if people living in multi-family housing would reach out to their property manager about the program since they are not direct customers of the ACSA. Ms. Roach replied that typically, the property owner or property management company would be applying for the rebate. Ms. Swanson asked if the property managers offer residents the opportunity to be engaged in the process or is it just a matter of them showing up to replace the toilet. Ms. Roach replied that most just show up and replace the toilets. She stated that there some properties that hand out dye tablets and encourage them to check for leaky toilets, but it is usually just the property manager making the decision to replace the toilets.

Ms. Palmer asked how many rebates an apartment complex is allowed to receive. Ms. Roach replied that they are eligible for up to three toilets per unit. She added, however, that their rebates are usually less than \$100 per toilet because they purchase the toilets in bulk and receive a good deal on the per item price.

9. ACSA Financial Plan and Scope of Work Discussion

Mr. Lunsford stated that this is an introductory presentation about what the ACSA is doing and being mindful of in the long term. He stated that he would start by giving the Board a quick refresher on the ACSA's financial policies, followed by a review of the ACSA's current financial reserves, current and past ACSA construction projects and what has been spent on those, as well as the proposed long-term financial plan and analysis.

Mr. Lunsford moved to the next slide which summarized the objectives of the ACSA's financial policy. He stated that overall, the goal is to ensure the ACSA is capable to meet immediate and long-term objectives. He mentioned that the ACSA emphasizes the importance of ensuring accountability to its customers. He stated that the policies have been designed to safeguard fiscal stability and sustainability. He noted that the financial policy was most recently updated in March 2022 to account for recommendations from the ACSA's investment advisor to allow investment in supernational investment vehicles, certificates of deposit, and bank notes.

Mr. Lunsford stated that the next slide outlined key sections of the financial policy. He stated that within the operating budget policies, all current expenditures should be paid for with operating revenues, which are water and sewer bills. He stated that another key component of the operating budget policy is maintaining operating reserves for periods of revenue shortfall. He added that budgets are developed based on historic performance as well as current trends.

Mr. Lunsford stated that in terms of capital budget policies, the ACSA prepares and updates a 10-year CIP plan annually. He stated that the first year of that plan is adopted by the Board. He mentioned that a key component here is that assets are to be maintained at a level adequate to protect the investment, meet regulatory requirements, and minimize future maintenance and replacement costs.

Mr. Lunsford stated that the next section is debt policies. He stated that within the financial policy it notes that the ACSA will utilize a balanced approach to capital funding by utilizing pay as you go, reserves, and issuing debt to help finance projects. He noted that when assessing capital project funding approaches and the issuance of debt, the ACSA is to conduct a formal analysis of that issuance.

Mr. Lunsford stated that regarding reserve policies, the 3R reserves provide funds for potential lags between operating revenues and

expenditures and provide funds to pay for unexpected major repairs and planned replacement of aging infrastructure. He stated that capacity and system development reserves are accumulated to fund capacity and growth-related system development costs and charges. He stated that these costs would be those associated with ACSA growth-related projects or RWSA growth-related debt service that is passed along to the ACSA.

Mr. Lunsford stated that within the revenue policies, water and sewer charges should be kept as low as possible over time without sacrificing continual maintenance. He stated that the ACSA has long had the mantra of "growth pays for growth," thus costs related to the expansion of the system capacity should be funded by new and future customers. He added that rate studies should be conducted regularly or at a minimum, every five years.

Mr. Lunsford stated that in terms of expenditure policies, operating expenditures should be funded with ongoing operating revenues and investments in technology and other efficiency tools should be made to maximize productivity.

Mr. Lunsford moved to the next slide to review the ACSA's financial reserves. He stated that as of February 28, 2025, the growth-related ACSA reserves were \$17.5 million and the growth-related RWSA reserves were about \$19 million. He stated that the ACSA's non-growth and operating reserves totaled a little more than \$14 million.

Mr. Lunsford moved to the next slide and stated that it reflects adopted FY 2025 and prior years' CIP projects in total, either currently or soon to be in progress. He stated that the total amount is \$24.8 million. He noted that of that \$24.8 million that has been approved, the ACSA has spent about \$5 million which leaves almost \$20 million to spend. Mr. Parcells added that those funds are encumbered. Mr. Lunsford stated that the next slide illustrates this to a degree.

Mr. Lunsford stated that the left bar graph represents growth-related reserves, and the right side shows non-growth-related reserves. He noted

that the blue bar represents current reserve balances. Mr. Parcells stated that while the graph is nice, having the numbers above the bars as label points would be helpful. Mr. Lunsford stated that the unspent growth-related projects equal \$12.4 million, which is represented by the green bar on the graph to the left. He noted that the green bar on the right represents \$7.5 million, leaving \$5.2 million in growth-related ACSA reserves and \$6.5 million in non-growth-related ACSA reserves.

Mr. Lunsford stated that in terms of next steps, Tanya Johnson, Director of Finance, and her team have started working with Davenport & Co. to assist with an analyzation of the ACSA's overall financial health and sustainability. He noted that Davenport is helping to conduct an in-depth financial review to ensure the ACSA has the funding it needs to pay for future projects that have been identified as well as those that have not. He noted that the ACSA will be evaluating whether a debt issuance makes sense, as there is a large ACSA capital project that would be reasonably funded through debt financing. He stated that, historically, the ACSA has not issued a lot of debt. He mentioned that the last debt the ACSA issued was in 2010 for the North Fork Regional Pump Station, which was refinanced in the early 2020s.

Mr. Parcells asked what the term is on the long-term debt that was refinanced. Mr. Lunsford replied that it will be finished in 2030. Mr. Parcells stated that in other words, it was 20 years initially and the ACSA refinanced the balance. He asked if the ACSA considers issuing a new debt, would they do the same thing. Mr. Lunsford replied they would consider bond funding if it was favorable. He stated that he is not sure the interest rates will be favorable. Ms. Palmer asked if the ACSA would ever consider a 30-year loan. Mr. Lunsford replied that he thinks they can because the current debt policy states that the term of the loan cannot extend the useful life of the asset.

Ms. Swanson stated that when the debt issuance was done for the North Fork Regional Pump Station, the ACSA used a special rate district as

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

a strategy to carry out the growth pays for growth policy. She stated that if the ACSA is looking into debt issuance for other projects, it will be everyone paying for it as opposed to the growth pays for growth strategy. She asked if there was any way to look at how the two different ideas play out. She stated that it seems as if the North Fork Regional Pump Station did not completely play out as it had been envisioned. Mr. Lunsford replied that it had not played out yet. He stated that ultimately, customers that helped finance the pump station will be reimbursed by new connections in those special rate districts. He stated that first, the ACSA needs to evaluate whether it makes sense to issue debt with what they know is happening right now and what is expected to happen in the future. He noted that the next thing to evaluate is what the ACSA would choose to finance through those proceeds and if it is something that could support a special rate district, that might be something the Board could consider. He added that if it is something more general or non-growth related, then the ACSA can spread the cost of those projects over time and lessen the need to increase rates in a steep manner from year to year.

Ms. Palmer stated that, as she remembers, the original plan for North Pointe included a commercial component but that has changed. She stated there were also supposed to be around 900 single-family units and asked if that was still the case. Mr. Lynn replied that if the area was changed from commercial to residential, that would be favorable for the ACSA because the ERCs for residential would mean more connection charges. Ms. Palmer stated that she thought it had already been changed, but she figures the ACSA would know if they had. Mr. Lynn replied that they have not seen any recent plans for that area.

Mr. Parcells stated that he heard something about affordable housing being built at North Fork near the research area and asked if that is in the long-term plan. Mr. Lynn replied that there was not a housing component in the original plan. Mr. Parcells asked if Mr. Lynn is aware of

the new plan. Mr. Lynn replied yes and stated that it would be favorable as well because it would contribute more financially to the special rate district.

Mr. Parcells asked about the timing for the ACSA's long-term financial analysis. Mr. Lunsford replied that it is ongoing but will not impact what will be proposed for the FY 2026 budget. He mentioned that the ACSA is seeing considerable increases from RWSA, more so on the capital side. He noted that the community water supply plan that was developed in the early 2000s has finally come to fruition, but the costs have increased substantially. He added that the ACSA expects to see significant increases from RWSA over the next five years. Mr. Parcells asked if the ACSA could expect to add 20% to the increases they have already envisioned in the five-year plan. Mr. Lunsford replied that it depends on how the 20% is added. He stated that if he means adding 10% to the 12%, that would probably be a low estimate. Mr. Parcells stated that he meant adding 20% to what is currently projected, or 1.2x the current projected increases.

Mr. Lunsford stated that the ACSA wants to be intentional on how reserve funds are used to mitigate any short-term impact on customers. He stated that they hope to have a report in the next month and a half, which Ms. Johnson will present.

10. Items Not on the Agenda

There were no items not on the agenda to discuss.

11. <u>Executive Session</u>

The Chair read a Resolution to enter Executive Session pursuant to Virginia Code §2.2-3711 A (1) to discuss a personnel matter (Attached as Page _____).

Ms. Palmer moved to approve the Resolution as presented to the Board; seconded by Mr. Parcells. The Chair asked for a roll-call vote: Mr. Parcells, aye; Ms. Palmer, aye; Mr. Armstrong, aye; Mr. Roberts, aye; Ms. Swanson, aye.

The Board of Directors came back into regular session. The Clerk
read into record a Resolution stating that only matters so previously stated
and exempted from open discussion in regular session were discussed in
Executive Session (Attached as Page).
Mr. Parcells moved to approve the Resolution as presented
to the Board, seconded by Mr. Roberts. The Chair asked for a roll-
call vote: Mr. Parcells, aye; Ms. Palmer, aye; Mr. Armstrong aye; Mr.
Roberts, aye; Ms. Swanson, aye.
11. <u>Adjourn</u>
There being no further business, Ms. Palmer moved that the
meeting be adjourned, seconded by Mr. Roberts. All members voted
aye.
Quin Lunsford, Secretary-Treasurer