1	The Board of Directors of the Albemarle County Service Authority (ACSA)
2	met in a regular session on May 15, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. at the Administration
3	and Operations Center at 168 Spotnap Road in Charlottesville, Virginia.
4	Members Present: Mr. Richard Armstrong; Ms. Lizbeth Palmer; Mr. John
5	Parcells; Mr. Clarence Roberts; Mr. Charles Tolbert; Ms. Kimberly Swanson.
6	Members Absent: None.
7	Staff Present: Tim Brown, Mike Derdeyn (virtual), Tanya Johnson, Elise
8	Kiewra, Terri Knight, Quin Lunsford, Jeremy Lynn, Alex Morrison, Emily
9	Roach, Sabrina Seay, Danielle Trent, April Walker.
10	Staff Absent: None.
11	Public Present: Marianne Oyaas, The Archer Company.
12	
13	1. Call to Order and Establish a Quorum – Statement of Board Chair
14	Richard Armstrong, ACSA Board Chair asked for a moment of
15	silence for the 12-year-old boy that perished in the recent flood event in the
16	community. The Chair then called the meeting to order. He read the opening
17	Board Chair statement (Attached as Page), and a quorum was
18	established.
19	
20	2. Approve Minutes of April 17, 2025
21	Mr. Parcells stated that he had a couple of corrections beginning with
22	page 11 of the Board packet, line 19. He stated that the word "plan" should
23	be "plant." He stated that he had a question about the discussion on page
24	20 about Buckingham Circle and Bellair-Liberty Hills sewer. He asked if the
25	ACSA let the residents know how much it would cost for them to hook up to
26	sewer, which he assumes is more than the actual connection fee that the
27	ACSA charges. Mr. Lynn replied that there are a couple of different cost
28	components. He noted that there is a connection fee that is paid directly to
29	the ACSA, as well as a physical construction cost to make the connection
30	from the stub-out the ACSA provides to their dwelling. He mentioned that the
31	ACSA has provided them with ballpark costs, as well as an indication of what
	May 15, 2025

1 the cost would be for those residents that will require a pump. Mr. Parcells 2 asked if the ACSA is still seeing a favorable desire from residents to hook 3 up to the sewer. Mr. Lynn replied yes. Mr. Parcells inquired about possible 4 grants from the County. Mr. Lynn replied that the ACSA received a 5 Community Development Block Grant a couple of years ago for both phases 6 of the Oak Hill subdivision project, but it had an income component. He 7 mentioned that the ACSA did not qualify for a grant for Buckingham Circle 8 because they did not meet the low to moderate income requirement.

9 Ms. Palmer stated that with the County's grant, it is individual. She 10 asked if they were people in Buckingham Circle that would meet the criteria. 11 Mr. Lynn stated that they could apply for the Septic to Sewer Program, which 12 is decided on an individual basis, but there is an income component to it as 13 well. He stated that they have seen a few of those applications. He 14 mentioned that they just had one resident in Jefferson Village that is 15 applying, and it looks as if Albemarle Housing Improvement (AHIP) will help 16 them connect to public sewer. Ms. Palmer asked if the money was going 17 through AHIP. Mr. Lynn replied yes. She asked if all of the residents in 18 Buckingham Circle know that this is an option. Mr. Lynn stated that the ACSA 19 did share possible financing opportunities with them. He mentioned that 20 during those meetings, there is usually a question asked about financing 21 options or payment plans. He stated that the ACSA does not permit that, but 22 they do try to direct them to other resources. Ms. Palmer asked if everyone 23 in Buckingham Circle has agreed to hook up to public sewer. Mr. Lynn 24 replied that it is not 100%, but a large majority of the property owners are 25 very interested.

Mr. Parcells stated that on page 22 of the packet, line 19, there is a remark about alternate funding for the Avon Operations Center project. He stated that it was indicated that there might be an update on that this month and asked if there was one. Mr. Lunsford stated that they have seen a draft of Davenport's proposal, but there is still work to be done. He stated that there would be more information provided around June or July.

1	Mr. Parcells stated that he had a suggestion about the wording on
2	page 24, line 22. He stated that he would change it to say "along with \$17
3	million from reserves." He stated that he also had a correction and
4	suggestion about the wording on page 24, line 25. He stated that March 2026
5	should be March 2025, and the sentence should end after "3R reserves." He
6	stated that a new sentence should then begin that reads "She stated that as
7	of March 2025, there is \$36 million in growth-related reserves, and use of
8	\$10.2 million of those reserves is intended to offset the need." He went on
9	to offer a suggested rephrasing of page 28, line 15. He stated that it should
10	read "how is 59% of the CIP growth-related." He added that on the same
11	page, line 22, he stated that he thinks it should say "the ACSA pays out of
12	the operations reserves."
13	Ms. Palmer stated there was a typo on page 11 of the minutes, line
14	26. She stated that it should say 1980's instead of 1908.
15	Mr. Parcells moved to approve the minutes of April 17, 2025;
16	seconded by Mr. Tolbert. All members voted aye.
17	
18	3. <u>Matters from the Public</u>
18 19	3. <u>Matters from the Public</u> There were no matters from the public.
19	
19 20	There were no matters from the public.
19 20 21	 There were no matters from the public. 4. <u>Response to Public Comment</u>
19 20 21 22	 There were no matters from the public. 4. <u>Response to Public Comment</u>
19 20 21 22 23	 There were no matters from the public. 4. <u>Response to Public Comment</u> There was no response to public comment.
19 20 21 22 23 24	 There were no matters from the public. 4. <u>Response to Public Comment</u> There was no response to public comment. 5. <u>Consent Agenda</u>
19 20 21 22 23 24 25	 There were no matters from the public. 4. <u>Response to Public Comment</u> There was no response to public comment. 5. <u>Consent Agenda</u> a. Monthly Financial Reports –
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	 There were no matters from the public. 4. <u>Response to Public Comment</u> There was no response to public comment. 5. <u>Consent Agenda</u> a. Monthly Financial Reports – b. Monthly Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Report – Mr.
19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	 There were no matters from the public. 4. <u>Response to Public Comment</u> There was no response to public comment. 5. <u>Consent Agenda</u> <i>a. Monthly Financial Reports –</i> <i>b. Monthly Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Report –</i> Mr. Parcells referenced the Crozet SSES and Miscellaneous Sewer Rehab on
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 	 There were no matters from the public. 4. <u>Response to Public Comment</u> There was no response to public comment. 5. <u>Consent Agenda</u> a. Monthly Financial Reports – b. Monthly Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Report – Mr. Parcells referenced the Crozet SSES and Miscellaneous Sewer Rehab on page 77. He stated that the ACSA is partly done with the Inflow & Infiltration
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 	 There were no matters from the public. 4. <u>Response to Public Comment</u> There was no response to public comment. 5. <u>Consent Agenda</u> a. Monthly Financial Reports – b. Monthly Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Report – Mr. Parcells referenced the Crozet SSES and Miscellaneous Sewer Rehab on page 77. He stated that the ACSA is partly done with the Inflow & Infiltration (I&I) evaluation and asked if there was any information about the I&I
 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 	 There were no matters from the public. 4. <u>Response to Public Comment</u> There was no response to public comment. 5. <u>Consent Agenda</u> a. Monthly Financial Reports – b. Monthly Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Report – Mr. Parcells referenced the Crozet SSES and Miscellaneous Sewer Rehab on page 77. He stated that the ACSA is partly done with the Inflow & Infiltration (I&I) evaluation and asked if there was any information about the I&I sources, and what was experienced with this past rainstorm. Mr. Lynn

1 they have identified a number of defects that are allowing I&I into the 2 system. He mentioned that a few of those have been given to the ACSA's 3 on-call contractor so they will be handled through the CIP. He noted that 4 other items such as replacement of manhole covers are handled by the 5 ACSA's in-house maintenance crews. He stated that sewer flow meters 6 were installed just before this last rain event, so that was perfect timing. He 7 added that they have not seen the data from those meters yet but when it does, they will be able to identify areas where additional evaluations are 8 9 needed. Mr. Parcells asked if the 50 lateral liners for the Stonehenge 10 subdivision are related to fixing leaks and inflow problems. Mr. Lynn replied 11 that they can. He stated that they seal the connection between the main line 12 and the sewer lateral from the property. He noted that sometimes they are 13 different materials or installed at different times, so the connection may not 14 be tight. He added that the t-liners will seal that connection. Mr. Parcells 15 asked how it was determined that the Stonehenge area had that issue. Mr. 16 Lynn stated that Stonehenge had some older mains that had been relined 17 but they were still seeing inflow from the lateral connections. Mr. Parcells 18 asked about similar types of problems elsewhere. Mr. Lynn replied that it 19 usually depends on the material. He stated that all clay pipes are good 20 candidates for sealing the connection. He stated that this type of repair is 21 not cheap, so they try to do the mains first.

22 c. Monthly Maintenance Update – Mr. Parcells stated that there was a 23 large number of missed inspections. He stated that he assumes those 24 components have to be inspected weekly or monthly and was curious 25 about that. He also asked if the preventative maintenance for the pumps 26 was a monthly inspection. Mr. Morrison replied that weekly checks are 27 performed on Mondays and Fridays. He stated that there is a large number 28 because the assets in Cityworks are broken down by components and 29 there are a number of different inspections that will be captured in a single 30 visit. He stated that in terms of preventative maintenance, that is done 31 based on the manufacturer's recommendation.

1 d. IT Monthly Update – Mr. Parcells stated that there were only 18 alarms 2 noted for AMI. He asked if this means that customers are responding 3 more quickly. Ms. Walker replied no. She stated that the AMI alerts on 4 the IT side are for the collectors or cell modems that are on the antennas. 5 Mr. Parcells asked about leaks in the system that the ACSA has been 6 able to identify. Mr. Morrison replied that customer service and meter 7 reading would receive those alerts. He noted that they have just created 8 a new door hanger to place on customer's doors with additional 9 information and links to the ACSA's website on how to check for and 10 identify leaks. Mr. Lunsford stated that the customer service team 11 probably analyzes about 50-100 leak alerts a day and contacts those 12 customers if necessary.

13 Mr. Parcells stated that security alerts continue to be high. He asked 14 if there was any way to reduce those. Ms. Walker replied that they are 15 looking at a different alarm system to eliminate some of the nuisance 16 alarms. She noted that they met with their security vendor yesterday. 17 She noted that they may remove glass break sensors and add motions 18 sensors, which will reduce a lot of the alarms as well. Mr. Parcells stated 19 that it seems like a lot of labor on her part to deal with those alarms. 20 Ms. Walker replied that she has a great team who deals with most of 21 them, and they rarely even make it to her.

22 e. Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) Monthly Update – Ms. 23 Swanson stated that it is nice to see the costs for the Rivanna Pump Station 24 restoration were maybe lower than expected. She asked if there was any 25 update on the reimbursement from insurance on the work they are doing. 26 Mr. Lunsford stated that as of the last RWSA Board meeting, they are still 27 working with the insurance company. He noted that the reimbursement will 28 only be available for replacements and not upgrades. He stated that they 29 are still evaluating what is reimbursable and what is not. He added that he 30 suspects they should know something in the next few months.

1 Ms. Palmer stated that last month she asked for the definition of the 2 upper and lower Sugar Hollow pipeline. She stated that she was happy to 3 hear that the upper Sugar Hollow pipeline goes all the way from Ragged 4 Mountain to Sugar Hollow. She mentioned that her concern, and the reason 5 why she asked the question, is the Mechums Pump Station and when the 6 evaluation of the future Crozet Water Supply Plan would be brought forth to 7 the public. She stated that staff at RWSA told her it would be in their May discussion of the CIP, and that was some months ago. She stated that she 8 9 feels it is incredibly important for the ACSA Board to know if there is going 10 to be a water supply expansion for Crozet in the next 20 years. She noted 11 that her other issue is the dam on the Mechums River with a small slew, 12 which has been there since the 1950s and is an environmental issue. She stated that several years ago, RWSA had it in their CIP to remove. She noted 13 14 that it was taken out of the CIP because of the question about how RWSA 15 was going to do the future water supply plan for Crozet. She stated that she 16 hopes the Mechums River will be removed from the list of alternatives for the 17 water supply plan. Mr. Lunsford stated that he will follow up and get back to 18 the Board.

19 Ms. Swanson stated that in terms of water supply planning for the 20 Crozet area, it seems that the Albemarle County Board of Supervisors is also 21 thinking about growth area boundaries. She stated that Crozet is its own 22 contained water system and the discussion about growth is important.

f. ACSA Board Policy Future Issues Agenda 2025

24

g. Annual Water Quality Report -

Mr. Parcells moved to approve the consent agenda, seconded by Ms. Palmer. All members voted aye.

27 28

23

25

26

6. Operational Presentation – IT – Water and Sewer Dashboards

29Mr. Lunsford stated that Elise Kiewra, GIS Technician, would be30providing an overview (Attached as Pages____) of some dashboards

that have been created through an incredible amount of work by the IT
 department and various users of the GIS system.

Ms. Kiewra stated that the idea with the dashboards is to have a quick way to present aggregated information and find gaps in data. She stated that they also help staff make more informed decisions. She stated that they have dashboards for water, sewer, and GIS editing.

7 Ms. Kiewra moved to the first slide showing the sewer dashboard. 8 She stated that for both water and sewer, the dashboards show in-service 9 ACSA owned assets. She noted that there are tabs at the bottom of the 10 dashboard as well for various things. She stated that the next slide shows 11 a screenshot example of one of the tabs. She noted that in the upper right 12 corner, it shows how many feet of the clay pipe has been relined. She 13 mentioned that the dashboards are interactive, so you can click on any of 14 the bars in the graphs and it will give you more detailed information.

15 Mr. Parcells asked if she is saying that there are 82,000 feet of 16 vitrified clay sewer lines. Ms. Kiewra stated that there are 82,000 feet of clay 17 sewer lines that have been relined thus far.

Ms. Kiewra stated that the next slide shows a screenshot of the sewer force mains dashboard. She stated that once again, it is interactive, and they have the ability to click on the different years for more information. She stated that the next slide is the manhole dashboard. She noted that they have gotten rid of all the bolted and inner cover manhole lids and now have solid, gasketed, and vented lids, which is shown on the pie graph to the right.

Ms. Kiewra moved to the next slide showing the water dashboard. She stated that it shows an overview of the ACSA's water assets with various tabs at the bottom. She stated that it is interactive as shown on the next slide. She stated that as they click on the various years or materials, the information will change.

30 Mr. Parcells asked to back up to the previous slide. He asked if the
 31 1.45 million gallons referred to the calculated value of all the piping. Mr.
 May 15, 2025

Lynn stated that it was the storage tank volume. Mr. Parcells stated that it seems like a relatively small number for the area. Mr. Lynn replied that the 1.45 million is only for the ACSA-owned tanks. He mentioned that RWSA has probably 8-10 million gallons of storage that is not included in that number. He noted that the Pantops storage tank alone is around 5 million gallons.

7 Ms. Kiewra stated that the next slide shows the various materials, 8 diameters, and dates. Mr. Parcells stated that all of the ductile iron pipe 9 being installed lately has a life span of around 50-80 years. Mr. Lynn stated 10 that the literature would probably say about 75-100 years if it is installed 11 properly and protected from corrosion. Mr. Parcells asked, in terms of the 12 number of feet installed per year, if there was a way to project for the future 13 based on earlier years. Ms. Kiewra stated that it will not necessarily show 14 what has been replaced, but it will show there is less and less of a certain 15 material. She noted that as replacements are made, the bar will disappear. 16 Mr. Lynn stated that it is a great sign that there are so many short bars in 17 earlier years on the graph.

18 Ms. Kiewra stated that the next two dashboards show the ACSA 19 hydrants. She noted that there are nulls and unknowns on the graph. Ms. 20 Palmer asked if null was the same thing as unknown. Ms. Kiewra replied 21 ves and no. She stated that null means that the information was not put in 22 the system. She mentioned that unknown means that they have looked at 23 it but cannot find any information on it. Mr. Parcells asked why the first slide 24 shows 3,146 active hydrants and the second slide shows 87. Ms. Kiewra 25 replied that the second slide that shows 87 active hydrants is a snapshot of 26 just one year on the graph. She stated that it was meant to show the 27 interactive nature of the graph. Mr. Morrison added that as the user dives 28 into the data, this snapshot shows the active hydrants that were installed in 29 that particular year, thus there were 87 hydrants installed in that year.

30Ms. Kiewra moved to the GIS dashboard on the next slide. She31stated that it is used to track her work, as well as the inspectors. She stated

that it is interactive as well and allows them to see how much has been
added and edited over the years. She mentioned that the next slide shows
the Crozet CIP water project, which is an example of how lines get added
over time. She stated that the last GIS editing dashboard shows information
on sewer assets such as how many assets were entered or edited by the
various inspectors.

7 Mr. Parcells asked how maintenance, engineering, and IT work 8 together to maintain the data in the GIS. Mr. Morrison stated that the 9 Cityworks system is what really connects the departments. He stated that 10 maintenance can send a request in Cityworks to update GIS information. 11 He mentioned that there are also monthly meetings for both GIS and 12 Cityworks, where all departments get together to look at how the software 13 is being used and if there are any changes that need to be made or special 14 projects that are going on. Mr. Lynn added that Justin Ray and Elise Kiewra 15 are the heroes in all of this. He stated that the construction inspectors will 16 GPS locate the new assets, and Ms. Kiewra is responsible for drawing 17 everything in.

18 Ms. Kiewra stated that she would second Mr. Morrison's earlier 19 comment about Cityworks playing an integral role. She stated that she will 20 get requests through her Cityworks dashboard to make edits in the GIS. 21 She mentioned that there is also a lot of direct work with inspectors as well. 22 Mr. Parcells asked how the software has performed in talking to each other 23 and if there have been any glitches. Ms. Kiewra replied that she thinks it 24 has been fine. Ms. Walker added that they have a development site with 25 development servers, so they can test any updates or patches before they 26 are released.

Ms. Palmer stated that when she began her first stint on the ACSA Board in 2006, there was a new executive director that railed because the organization did not have an asset management system. She stated that it is amazing to see the asset management plan that the ACSA has now.

31

1

7. Proposed FY 2026 Budget and Rates Workshop

Ms. Johnson stated that her presentation today would be on the proposed FY 2026 budget and rates (Attached as Pages_____). She stated that she would begin her presentation with an overview of the ACSA, followed by an FY 2025 update and forecasts. She stated that she would go over the water and sewer rate analysis, FY 2026 budget highlights and summary, customer bill comparisons, the proposed FY 2026 CIP, and budget next steps.

9 Ms. Johnson stated that the ACSA's vision is to serve and conserve 10 for today, sustain for tomorrow, and protect our resources forever. She 11 stated that the mission is to serve our customers by providing clean, safe 12 water, exemplary wastewater services and fire protection infrastructure.

Ms. Johnson moved to the next slide to give an overview of the ACSA. She stated that the organization was founded in 1964 and serves more than 89,000 customers. She stated that there are more than 23,000 water accounts and that number is still growing. She noted that the ACSA has over 80 dedicated employees, 377 miles of water lines and 318 miles of sanitary sewer lines. She stated that there are 18 pump stations, 7 water storage tanks, and 3,142 fire hydrants.

Ms. Johnson stated that in FY 2025, water revenues are exceeding budgeted expectations by 1.7%, or \$288,000 and sewer revenues are exceeding expectations by 3.1%, or \$408,000. She mentioned that water expenses are also above budgeted expectations by \$639,100 while sewer expenses are below budgeted expectations by 9.9%. She noted that departmental expenses have been below expectations by 13.7%.

Ms. Johnson stated that forecasts for the remainder of FY 2025 show water revenues exceeding budgeted amounts by 1.3% and sewer revenues exceeding expectations by 2.3%.

Ms. Johnson moved to the next slide outlining the ACSA's Strategic Plan for 2023-2027. She noted that the plan focuses on four themes – data optimization, business resilience, customer experience, and employee May 15, 2025

experience. She stated that the ACSA wants to ensure they are providing
 best-in-class service to its customers, as well as recruiting and retaining
 highly skilled people while providing them with resources and opportunities
 for professional growth.

5 Ms. Johnson stated that she would now give an overview of the water 6 and sewer rate analysis. She stated that the ACSA's most significant 7 expenses to date are the wholesale water and sewer treatment services 8 provided by the RWSA. She stated that the expenses are nearly 65% of the 9 ACSA's total operating budget. She mentioned that the expected average 10 increase in expenses from FY 2026-FY 2030 for water and sewer is 15% 11 year over year.

12 Ms. Johnson stated that the first recommendation from the rate 13 update and analysis is an 8.9% increase in water and sewer charges to 14 customers in FY 2026. She mentioned that this follows a 7% increase in FY 15 2025, an 8.9% increase in FY 2024, and a 4.6% increase in customer rates 16 in FY 2023. She mentioned that there is also a recommendation to increase 17 system development/capacity charges for FY 2026 from \$14,430 to 18 \$15,000. She noted that the last increase in these charges was approved 19 in FY 2024. She added that the use of reserves will smooth customer rate 20 increases over time, and the FY 2026 budget includes \$7.3 million in rate 21 stabilization reserves and \$10.2 million in growth reserves.

22 Mr. Parcells asked how the ACSA compares to other utilities in terms 23 of connection fees. Ms. Johnson stated that she would need to look into that 24 and get back to the Board with an answer.

Ms. Johnson stated that for the FY 2026 budget development, there will be an increase of \$6.19 per month for an average single-family customer. She stated that there is an anticipated increase of 18.9% in RWSA treatment and debt service costs to the ACSA.

Ms. Johnson stated that the pie chart on the next slide shows where the dollars are coming from, and the budgeted expenses and capital costs are illustrated in the graph following that one. She moved to the next slide

May 15, 2025

showing a chart of the cost of water and sewer treatment from FY 2001 to
 FY 2024, what is estimated for FY 2025, and the projected costs for FY
 2026-FY 2030. She stated that the next slide shows a table of the proposed
 water and sewer rates for FY 2026. She noted that the service charge for
 FY 2026 will be \$12.24.

6 Ms. Johnson stated that the recommendation to increase 7 development and capacity charges in FY 2026 is due to a large growth in 8 capacity-related projects that are upcoming or underway. She mentioned 9 that there are significant increases in construction/capital costs for growth-10 related projects. She noted that the last increase in system connection 11 charges was in FY 2024.

12 Ms. Palmer asked if Ms. Johnson could comment on how much of 13 these increases is related to inflation. She stated that they were expecting 14 increases but not of this magnitude. Ms. Johnson stated that some of it is 15 definitely related to inflation, but she does not know what the specific 16 percentage would be. She stated that she could look into it. Mr. Lunsford 17 asked if Ms. Palmer was referring to the increases project by project. Ms. 18 Palmer replied no, just in general. She stated that months ago, the Board 19 asked about increases in construction costs and what percentage was 20 related to inflation. She stated that she does not remember what the answer 21 was. Mr. Lunsford stated that specific to the ACSA, the Avon Operations 22 Center is a wonderful example of what they are going to be seeing more 23 broadly. He stated that they thought the project would cost about \$12 million 24 a few years back, but it is now significantly over that amount. He mentioned 25 that RWSA is dealing with similar challenges in their generational water 26 projects, which were already costly. He added that it is difficult to give a 27 specific percentage that is related to inflation.

Ms. Johnson stated that the proposed increase in water and sewer rates for FY 2026 is attributed to the increase in RWSA's treatment and capital cost increases of 18.9% for water and sewer. She mentioned that the total ACSA departmental operating budget increase of 7% is due to

May 15, 2025

merit and market adjustments. She mentioned that there are four new
 positions and costs for employee benefits, operating supplies, software
 subscriptions, and other items.

4 Mr. Parcells asked if the \$370,000 in the budget for the merit/market 5 adjustments included the \$259,201 for the four new positions. Mr. Lunsford 6 replied that the \$370,000 is the change in the current amount and the 7 \$259,201 is an additional amount for the new positions and the equipment related to those positions. Mr. Parcells stated that then there is \$885,000 8 9 for the sum of everyone's benefits packages. Mr. Lunsford stated that it is 10 not just for benefits, but also for operating supplies, subscription fees, etc. 11 Mr. Parcells asked how the total operating budget increase by \$1 million 12 because those numbers do not total \$1 million. Mr. Lunsford stated that they 13 would reconcile those numbers and get back to him.

14 Ms. Johnson moved to the next slide outlining the use of reserves 15 and projections. She stated that the proposed budget includes \$7.3 million 16 from rate stabilization reserves to fund the ACSA's non-growth-related CIP. 17 She mentioned that there is \$10.2 million from growth reserves to fund 18 ACSA's growth-related CIP and RWSA's debt service for growth-related 19 projects. She noted that the use of reserves is proposed to mitigate the rate 20 increase to customers in the upcoming fiscal year. She added that a sound financial management and growing system provides the opportunity to 21 22 smoothly increase customer rates over time.

Ms. Johnson stated that the next slide shows growth reserves calculations for FY 2026. She stated that ACSA system development charge revenue is \$3.3 million, and RWSA's capacity charge revenue is \$6 million, with a total system connection charge revenue budget of \$9.4 million for FY 2026. She added that \$2.8 million in RWSA growth reserves is expected to be used in FY 2026.

29 Mr. Parcells stated that since the last conversation they had about 30 this and he was concerned about what was budgeted for connection 31 charges in FY 2025, it looks like the ACSA is better in line at the end of this

month and should be right on target by the end of the fiscal year. Mr.
Lunsford stated that it is difficult to anticipate when that revenue will be
recognized as there could be delays in construction, which delays the actual
recognition of that revenue in this fiscal year. He stated, however, that it
should be very close.

Ms. Johnson moved to the next slide which showed a sample of a monthly combined water and sewer bill for a single-family customer, depending on usage. She stated that it also shows a sample bill for a multifamily/non-residential customer, depending upon the size of the meter.

6

7

8

9

10 Ms. Johnson stated that she liked the next slide because it shows 11 that a penny can purchase almost two gallons of ACSA water. She stated 12 that the following slide shows an ACSA monthly bill comparison to 13 comparable utilities from surrounding areas. She noted that the next slide 14 shows a comparison of an ACSA customer monthly bill to that of the City of 15 Charlottesville. She stated that an ACSA customer's bill at the proposed FY 16 2026 rate would be 17% lower than a comparable from the City of 17 Charlottesville. She added that the City also imposes a 10% utility tax in 18 addition to the monthly bill on consumption. She stated that this tax is not 19 reflected in the chart.

20 Ms. Johnson moved to the next slide illustrating the operating 21 expenses by type and department. She stated that the administration 22 department's key initiatives for FY 2026, most of which stem from the 23 ACSA's Strategic Plan. She mentioned that they included hiring a safety 24 supervisor, continued customer communications through a variety of media, 25 customer education and outreach, promoting water conservation, and 26 enhancing the customer experience. She stated that some of engineering's 27 key initiatives for FY 2026 include replacing aging and deteriorating 28 undersized water mains, increasing wastewater capacity to accommodate 29 continued growth, and continued construction of the Avon Operations 30 Center.

Mr. Parcells asked what the rock situation is like with the Avon Operations Center project. Mr. Morrison replied that they are currently waiting on the first calculation of quantities. He stated that blasting is underway, and Dewberry is reviewing the blaster's drilling logs. He mentioned that the ACSA expects to see an invoice for the first batch of rock on the next pay application, so he should be able to give an indication on that next month.

8 Ms. Johnson stated that the IT department's key initiatives for FY 9 2026 include ongoing IT support to ACSA staff and facilitating the 10 implementation of various projects, completing the federally mandated 5-11 Year Vulnerability Risk Assessment, continuing to evaluate, enhance, and 12 optimize IT infrastructure to support organizational needs, and improving 13 business continuity and operational resilience by beginning the migration of 14 servers and software applications to the cloud.

15 Mr. Parcells stated that replacing aging servers seems to be an item 16 that comes up every year. He asked how many servers there are in total 17 and what the life span is of those servers. Ms. Walker replied that servers 18 are replaced every five years, and the ACSA currently has 29. She 19 mentioned that they are beginning to move more applications to the cloud. 20 Mr. Parcells asked about the expense related to the cloud versus servers. 21 Ms. Walker replied that the cloud will initially cost more than the purchase 22 of a server. She mentioned, however, if you consider the cost of 23 maintenance and man hours, the cloud will be considerably less over time. 24 She added that they will also gain redundancy with the cloud.

Mr. Parcells asked if she envisions completely switching to the cloud and getting rid of all the servers. Ms. Walker replied no. She stated that SCADA will need to stay on premises, but it will be made redundant at the Avon Operations Center as well. She stated that most of the servers will go, and the first one they will try to switch to the cloud is the file server. She added that they are also looking at moving some of the GIS to the cloud for increased speed.

1 Mr. Parcells stated that the communication with the cloud is through 2 satellite and asked if there would be protection against loss of 3 communication. Ms. Walker replied that the ACSA is doing everything it can 4 to prevent that, including adding a second internet provider. She added that 5 it is also being added in the support contracts that data centers will provide 6 a redundancy as well.

Ms. Swanson asked what cloud service the ACSA uses. Ms. Walker
replied that currently the ACSA uses Crimp, but they are demoing and
working with the Microsoft Azure cloud service.

10 Ms. Johnson stated that in terms of key initiatives for the 11 maintenance department, they are planning to promote optimization of 12 resources through continued development of a resource use plan for the 13 Avon Operations Center. She stated that maintenance will continue with an 14 increased emphasis on training and education programs for leadership, 15 safety, and advancement, as well as succession plan training for senior 16 staff. She added that there will also be a continued focus on converting the 17 remaining exclusion meters throughout the service area.

Ms. Johnson stated that finance's key initiatives include focused training for staff and data analysis including AMI, ERP, and CMMS. She stated that they will also focus on implementation of the customer experience vision statement and continue to analyze customer engagement opportunities. She added that they will also be reviewing business continuity from an operational and financial perspective.

24 Ms. Johnson stated that there are several planned new capital 25 equipment purchases for FY 2026. She stated that the administration 26 department will need a new vehicle for the safety supervisor and the IT 27 department will need five new iPads and cell phones, three new computers, 28 and four GPS units. She mentioned that the maintenance department will 29 need a brush mower, asphalt cutting wheel, two 20' Connex boxes, one F-30 150 truck, a Smart Shore system, and a sewer pipeline repair trailer and 31 equipment.

Ms. Swanson asked if the F-150 was a lightning vehicle. Mr. Morrison
 replied that the Fi-150 will be the on-call standby truck replacement and
 there are currently no plans to purchase an EV. He stated that if they were
 to get into a prolonged emergency situation, they do not want to rely on the
 charge. Ms. Swanson asked if the truck would use gas fuel or diesel. Mr.
 Morrison replied that it would use gas.

Ms. Johnson stated that there were also several replacement capital
equipment purchases planned for FY 2026 as well. She stated that they
included 12 PCs, servers, iPads, phones, cell modems, some office
furniture, vehicle replacements, and other items. She stated that the CIP
program was presented by Mr. Lynn at the last meeting and would consist
of water, sewer, and non-utility/facility projects totaling \$18.5 million.

Ms. Johnson stated that in terms of budget next steps, an insert will be sent out with the customer bills this month. She stated that June 19th, 2025 will be the public hearing, a second budget workshop, and adoption of the budget and rates. She stated that the development of the FY 2026 budget proposal was a collaborative effort. She mentioned that it was successful due to the ACSA Lead Team, as well as the input from all departments.

Ms. Swanson stated that the one data point she tends to focus on every year during the budget process is the percentage of the ACSA's budget that goes to RWSA's charges. She mentioned that it has crept up considerably, as it used to be around 60% but is now 65%. She asked if that 5% is really being driven by inflation. Ms. Johnson replied that her thought would be yes, the increase is due to the fact that RWSA is also seeing increases in CIP projects due to inflation.

Mr. Lunsford stated that there was a slide earlier in the presentation on page 163 that illustrates exactly what Ms. Swanson is speaking about. He stated that it shows the increase from RWSA to the ACSA is double or triple what the ACSA's rate increases are to its customers. He mentioned that over time, it is going to compound. He stated that they have been May 15, 2025

1 directed to be as smooth as possible with rate increases to customers so 2 that is what they are attempting to do. He noted that as the expenses from 3 RWSA continue to increase, the ACSA may need to compensate with larger 4 increases. He added that within the proposed FY 2026 budget, there are 5 funds allocated for a formal rate study. He stated that this is done every five 6 years, and they will be looking at those increases both from the current 7 water and sewer customer's perspective, as well as from a connection 8 charge perspective. He mentioned that the connection charges have not 9 increased at the same percentage level as the customer water and sewer 10 bills. He stated that with the increases in growth-related project costs, the 11 ACSA needs to ensure that they are recouping what they need from new 12 connections.

13 Ms. Palmer stated that there is a graph that RWSA puts out which 14 shows their debt service. She stated that it is directly related to RWSA's 15 major projects, and it shows a general decrease in their debt service after 16 some time. She stated that this should be reflected in the amount that the 17 ACSA ends up paying them. Mr. Lunsford replied that this is true, with one 18 caveat. He stated that it is difficult to project costs the further away they are 19 from the current year, and it is difficult to project some of the necessary 20 projects that RWSA may not know about right now. He mentioned that the 21 graph may show debt service going down after four or five years when, in 22 fact, it could increase. He stated that because of this, the ACSA needs to 23 remain financially viable and able to absorb some of the immediate 24 increases to its customers over a long period of time.

Mr. Tolbert asked if it is true that some of RWSA's projects will be solely the ACSA's responsibility, as opposed to being shared with the City of Charlottesville. Mr. Lunsford replied yes. He stated that anything that happens in Crozet will be 100% the ACSA's responsibility. He stated that there is a cost allocation agreement for the Urban area, so the ACSA and the City pay different proportions depending on where the project is located.

Ms. Roberts stated that granular activated carbon (GAC) created an
expense as well. He asked how many millions per year the GAC cost. Mr.
Lunsford stated that it costs around \$2 million per year to recharge the GAC.
Ms. Palmer stated that the comparison of monthly bills to other localities on
page 175 shows Blacksburg at the bottom on the lower end. She stated that
she thinks they still use chloramines. Ms. Swanson asked if they have
reservoirs. Ms. Palmer stated that they have a reservoir.

8 Mr. Roberts stated that he wanted to note that the ACSA's water 9 connection fees are cheaper than the cost to drill a well and the connection 10 fee for sewer is less than the cost to put in a septic system. Ms. Palmer 11 stated that she has heard of people paying \$17,000-\$19,000 just to dig a 12 well. Mr. Parcells added that it is a good marketing point.

Mr. Lunsford stated that he wanted to emphasize that the comparison of ACSA charges on water and sewer bills to other utilities is quantitative and there is no qualitative analysis in those comparisons. He stated that the ACSA believes the quality of its water and service exceeds any of the utilities listed and there is a cost for that.

18 Mr. Roberts stated that he heard on the news that the Environmental 19 Protection Agency (EPA) is going to increase the water quality requirements 20 for PFAS. He asked how that will impact what the ACSA has been doing. 21 Ms. Palmer stated that it would be beneficial for customers to be reminded 22 that the ACSA does not have any PFAS in its water.

23

24

8. <u>Compensation and Classification Study Update</u>

25 Ms. Roach stated that Marianne Oyaas with The Archer Company 26 would be presenting their findings from the Classification and 27 Compensation Study.

Ms. Oyaas stated that she would begin by briefly touching on the study objectives and key findings and how they conducted the study. She stated that she would speak about the two components of fair pay which are internal equity and market equity. She stated that internal equity means

1 that when comparing jobs, those that are more difficult or complex should 2 be paid more. She stated that market equity means that pay ranges and 3 rates at the ACSA are comparable with its peer organizations. She stated 4 that she would discuss the pay study they performed and the surveys that 5 went along with that, in addition to the ACSA's new pay structure. She 6 mentioned that she would also go over the how the new pay structure will 7 be implemented as well as recommendations for maintaining the integrity 8 of the pay plan over time. She added that the ACSA's pay levels were found 9 to be competitive and that is due to the work that has been done over the 10 past several years. She stated that they only needed to fine tune a few areas 11 of concern.

12 Ms. Oyaas moved to the next slide to review the objectives of the 13 study. She stated that Archer looked at every job title to ensure they 14 understood what folks were doing before they did anything else. She stated 15 that they met with each department head individually to receive feedback 16 on the pay plan such as what is working and what concerns they had. She 17 mentioned that each job was evaluated and there was comprehensive 18 market study, which she would provide details for later in the presentation. 19 She stated that from all of that information, they made recommendations 20 about the pay structure and discussed how to implement them with the 21 project team. She added that throughout the process, they were always 22 thinking about equitable pay while staying within the budget parameters of 23 the organization.

Ms. Oyaas stated that in terms of the study methodology, it is fairly turnkey. She stated that the process began by learning about the jobs through questionnaires completed by employees. She noted that the questionnaires were reviewed by management and there were several working sessions with the project team to learn about the ACSA's pay system and policies. She mentioned that the job evaluation process involved measuring each one of the jobs. She stated that once they determined the market value of each job and the internal ranking, they were
 able to develop some structure recommendations.

3 Ms. Oyaas stated that in terms of the job analysis and evaluation 4 process, Archer looked at every job at the ACSA. She stated that the 5 department heads were very thorough in their review of the employee 6 guestionnaires. She stated that the meetings with the department heads 7 were very helpful and the level of concern amongst them about pay was fairly low. She mentioned that this was another indication that the ACSA 8 9 had done a good job of maintaining equitable pay. She stated that the 10 internal evaluation process happened next, which she would share more 11 about in a moment. She noted that once they had recommendations for pay 12 grades and ranges, they were reviewed by the project team and each 13 department head to ensure they made sense.

14 Ms. Oyaas stated that when talking about point factor evaluation for 15 jobs, the idea is to ensure that jobs are placed in pay grades based on true 16 differences such as knowledge, skills, and responsibilities. She stated that 17 the measuring factors Archer looked at when evaluating the ACSA's jobs 18 were education required, experience required, supervisory responsibilities, 19 decision-making, and business impact. She stated that each job was scored 20 on these factors and jobs that were similar in terms of their total point score 21 were placed in the same pay grade and range. She stated that this is a 22 basic, fundamental compensation practice. She added that all of the 23 ACSA's jobs were placed into 15 pay grades from entry-level to department 24 head.

Ms. Oyaas stated that the custom market survey was going on at the same time as the job evaluations. She stated that Archer worked with the ACSA project team to finalize what they refer to as the market strategy and determine who the ACSA is competing with and what jobs to survey. She mentioned that they surveyed every job and were able to gather data on almost all of them. She noted that the ACSA's market was primarily local utilities, so they focused on those utilities within commuting distance. She May 15, 2025

stated that most people are willing to commute about 45 minutes to an hour,
and there were a number of utilities within that geographic area. She stated
that the survey was customized with all of the ACSA's job titles and a
number of pay practice questions and worked with the utilities to get them
to complete the survey. She noted that the survey covered 92% of the
ACSA's job titles which is higher than they typically get.

7 Ms. Oyaas stated that the list of utilities on the next slide were the 8 ACSA's competitors, or talent peers as Archer calls them. She stated that 9 there are a couple of them that are not local such as Loudon and Prince 10 William County. She mentioned that for some jobs, like the mid to high level 11 positions, the ACSA is probably competing a bit farther than the local area. 12 She noted that they received data from all of the talent peers except for 13 Rapidan Service Authority and Virginia Department of Transportation 14 (DOT), as they chose not to participate. She added that 18 surveys out of 15 20 is very good from their standpoint.

16 Ms. Oyaas moved to the benchmark survey results on the next slide. 17 She noted that, overall, the ACSA's current pay ranges are competitive in 18 the market on all key comparisons. She stated that the ACSA's current pay 19 range minimums are, on average, about 7% above market. She stated that 20 it is a healthy percentage as the market is moving quickly and it is good to 21 be a few percentage points above market. She stated that the pay range 22 midpoints are around 9% above market and maximums are about 10% 23 above market.

Ms. Palmer asked if cost of living was considered in the different areas. Ms. Oyaas replied yes. She stated that for each of the utilities, whether it was local or farther away, they looked at the cost of labor which is a little different than the cost of living. She stated that the cost of labor looks at the employer's salary and benefit expenses. She mentioned that a few of the utilities had quite a higher cost of labor. She noted that in those cases, the cost of labor was adjusted down to the ACSA's cost of labor.

1 Ms. Oyaas stated that the idea, with the study recommendations, is 2 for the ACSA to maintain its current market position. She stated that there 3 were some jobs that were paid a bit low, so part of the recommendations 4 will adjust those salaries. She mentioned that in terms of pay practices, they 5 found that the ACSA's longevity and referral bonus programs are 6 competitive. She noted that most of the ACSA's competitors have what are 7 called "open ranges," and a few have a step plan where employees move 8 up in pay range in a designated month every year. She stated that the step 9 plan is unusual in the ACSA's market, and they do not recommend that the 10 ACSA adopt that type of plan. She added that the ACSA's pay increases 11 have been competitive and a little above market in the last few years. She 12 stated that the policy of receiving a one-time bonus in lieu of a yearly raise 13 once employees hit their pay maximum is generous and recommended. 14 She stated that those employees have invested a lot of time in the company 15 and vice versa, and it is nice for them to still receive a payment once a year. She stated that the on-call or stand-by duty pay policy is flexible and 16 17 something that most of the ACSA's peers do not have.

18 Ms. Ovaas stated that there were adjustments made to the ACSA's 19 pay structure to try and get every job within the competitive range of 5%-20 10% above market. She stated that each pay grade has been assigned an 21 open range with a minimum, market reference point, and a maximum. She 22 noted that the market reference point is based on the average midpoints of 23 the jobs in the market, which links to average pay from the ACSA's 24 competitors. She stated that each pay range is now 70% wide from the 25 minimum to the maximum, which is a 10-percentage point increase 26 compared to the old range. She mentioned that this allows for more room 27 at the top of the range as a way to help retain highly seasoned and effective 28 employees. She stated that the ranges associated with each grade should 29 be competitive for every job in that grade.

30Ms. Oyaas stated that in terms of implementation, the goal is to be31equitable. She stated that, assuming the recommendations are adopted, all

1 ACSA employees will receive a 2% general increase on July 1, 2025. She 2 stated that, secondly, each employee will be placed into their new pay grade 3 and range based on their years of service in their position. She stated that 4 this is called a compression adjustment. She stated that each employee will 5 also receive their 3% pay pool increase based on performance, which is not 6 a change from what has been done in the past. She stated that these three 7 steps will have a budgetary impact of \$370,000 in base pay for FY 2026, which is about a 5.6% increase for payroll. She added that they usually see 8 9 increases of 10% or more, so 5.6% was another indication that what the 10 ACSA has done in the past has been working.

11 Ms. Palmer asked what percentage of employees are receiving the 12 3% pay pool increase. She stated that she recalls Ms. Johnson saying in an 13 email that most employees are receiving the 3%. Ms. Johnson confirmed 14 that this was correct.

15 Mr. Parcells asked if it would make sense, or better for the bottom 16 line, for the merit increase be a bonus as opposed to a salary adjustment. 17 He stated that he was surprised to see how the ACSA varied from 7%-10% 18 above market on some of the pay scales. He stated that if there is a 5% 19 increase for everyone, that keeps the ACSA at that high level. He asked 20 what would happen if all employees get the 2% market adjustment and the 21 merit increase was a bonus instead of a pay adjustment. He stated that, in 22 his opinion, it would lower that 7%-10%. Ms. Johnson stated that she thinks 23 the ACSA being so competitive in the market is part of why they have been 24 able to retain the people that they have for so long.

Ms. Roach stated that the Board should keep in mind that those data points were from surveys conducted last year. She stated that the ACSA's competitors are also changing their scales and their pay. She stated that in terms of giving employees bonuses instead of salary increases, it would be impactful to their Virginia Retirement System (VRS) pension. Mr. Parcells stated that he was just looking for a way to save a few bucks, considering how high above market the ACSA has been. He stated that initially he told

1 Ms. Johnson he was thinking around a 2.5% increase across the Board, but 2 he had not thought about the 3% merit increase. He stated that it looks like 3 everyone will receive that, more or less. Ms. Johnson stated that the 3% 4 merit increase is based on performance. Ms. Roach stated that they have 5 a performance pool which means that everyone is evaluated and then 6 compared within their department to their peers. She stated that higher 7 performers pull more out of the pool than a low performer. She noted that it is designed to reward higher performers, instead of just giving increases 8 9 across the board.

10 Ms. Palmer stated that she wanted to clarify the 5.6% increase in the 11 base pay roll. She asked if that means that it is just an increase in the base 12 payroll cost and not that everyone's salary is increasing 5%. Ms. Oyaas 13 replied yes. Ms. Palmer stated that all the other utilities are probably 14 increasing as well. Ms. Oyaas replied that those 18 or so utilities are 15 projected on average, to increase their pay about 4%.

16 Mr. Armstrong stated that Virginia is going to take a hard hit in terms 17 of unemployment because of what is going on in Washington, D.C. and all 18 the people that live in Northern Virginia. He stated that this probably means 19 that a lot of places are not going to feel much pressure to give salary 20 increases to remain competitive in the market. He asked if Archer has 21 looked at how that impacts, or should impact, their projections. Ms. Oyaas 22 replied that they are just beginning to get that data from government 23 sources, so she does not have that data for Virginia. She stated that she 24 has national data from employers across the U.S. on what they expect to 25 spend in pay increase for 2025. She noted that what they predicted was 26 about 0.5% higher than the actual increases. She stated that it is still early, 27 but she thinks they will be in a better position to understand how it is 28 impacting employer decisions in about six months.

29 Ms. Roach stated that another thing to keep in mind is that the 30 ACSA's local competitors are unionizing. She stated that all of the data is not available to review, but their upfront salaries they advertise is appealing
 and the ACSA wants to be able to retain its employees.

3 Mr. Parcells stated that one of his concerns with unions is the 4 narrowing of classification and constraint, but one of the benefits is the 5 ability to do well for its members. He stated that the ACSA is an organization 6 where everyone works together so collaboratively, and he assumes that the emplovees do not desire to be part of a union that could be more 7 8 constraining. He stated that part of the overall salary issue is to maintain 9 that happy, family environment where people want to come to work. He 10 stated that in that sense, he has no issue with how the salary and pay raises 11 are structured.

Ms. Palmer asked if Ms. Oyaas could speak to the positions that were found to be underpaid. Ms. Oyaas stated that the Utility Worker I positions, and at least one Utility Worker II position, are moving up. She stated that they have been seeing entry-level jobs getting hit harder in the economy, so it is common among clients to have entry-level jobs that need to move up.

18 Ms. Oyaas mentioned that there were also a few jobs where they 19 found a disconnect between how long the person had been in the position 20 and where they were in the salary range. She noted that this is called 21 compression, which they adjusted for as shown in the chart on the next 22 slide. She stated that the idea was to set a target salary for everyone based 23 on how long they have been in their position. She stated that the longer they 24 have been in the position, the higher the salary. She mentioned that the 25 adjustments affected very few employees, but there were a couple of 26 increases that were on the large side. She stated, however, that if they are 27 going to honor length of service through salary adjustments, it has to be 28 done for everyone.

Ms. Oyaas moved to the last slide to discuss pay plan maintenance for the ACSA. She stated that one recommendation is to use the job evaluation process as jobs are created or significantly changed. She stated May 15, 2025

that they also recommend that the ACSA conduct a comprehensive market analysis no less that every 3-4 years. She stated that the ACSA should also be looking at the market data every year to determine pay increases and ensure they are not overshooting the market. She stated that the last recommendation is for the ACSA to help employees understand the value of their total benefit package. She mentioned that this is important from a recruitment standpoint, as well as from a retention perspective.

8 Mr. Roberts asked if the 3% performance pay pool is an average. He 9 asked if there were employees that were receiving less than 3%, or if 10 everyone was receiving 3%. Ms. Roach replied that employees are 11 competing against their peers in the performance pool. She stated that an 12 employee who is average may receive 3%, while an employee who is 13 exceeding expectations may receive 3.25%, and an underperformer may 14 receive 2.75%.

Mr. Lunsford stated that the recommendations from the pay study are in line with the ACSA's extremely local peers. He noted that RWSA is proposing a 5% increase for their employees. He stated that, historically, there were considerable investments made in the employee compensation package to get the ACSA where it is today. He stated that he hopes the Board will consider allowing the ACSA to remain competitive in relation to its peers.

Ms. Palmer asked if the 10% above market is just the salary or the salary plus the benefits package. Ms. Oyaas stated that the 10% refers the pay range maximum, which does not include the benefit costs.

Mr. Parcells stated that rewarding employees is the most important thing, especially to keep the quality of employees that the ACSA has. He stated that the ACSA Lead Team is a credit to their teams as well in terms of how they feel their employees should be rewarded. He stated that the feedback they provided is a testament to the quality of the team and the organization.

31

1	9. Items Not on the Agenda
2	Mr. Lunsford stated that there is a tour of the South Rivanna Water
3	Treatment Plant scheduled for Monday at 10 am. He stated that there was
4	still room available if any of the Board members were interested. He stated
5	that Ms. Swanson and a few ACSA staff members would be joining. He
6	stated that the other item was the ACSA's annual employee appreciation
7	picnic at Darden Towe Park. He stated that it would be held Friday, May 23^{rd}
8	at 12pm, and would love to have the Board join if they are able to.
9	
10	10. <u>Adjourn</u>
11	There being no further business, Mr. Tolbert moved that the
12	meeting be adjourned, seconded by Mr. Parcells. All members voted
13	aye.
14	
15	Quin Lunsford, Secretary-Treasurer