1	The Board of Directors of the Albemarle County Service Authority (ACSA)
2	met in a regular session on June 19, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. at the Administration
3	and Operations Center at 168 Spotnap Road in Charlottesville, Virginia.
4	Members Present: Mr. Richard Armstrong; Ms. Lizbeth Palmer; Mr. John
5	Parcells; Mr. Clarence Roberts; Mr. Charles Tolbert (remote); Ms. Kimberly
6	Swanson.
7	Members Absent: None.
8	Staff Present: Mike Derdeyn, David Hensley, Tanya Johnson, John Lewter,
9	Quin Lunsford, Jeremy Lynn, Alex Morrison, Emily Roach, Danielle Trent,
10	April Walker.
11	Staff Absent: Sabrina Seay.
12	Public Present: Hunter Wood, United Land Corp.
13	
14	1. Call to Order and Establish a Quorum – Statement of Board Chair
15	The Chair then called the meeting to order. He read the opening
16	Board Chair statement (Attached as Page), and a quorum was
17	established. He stated that pursuant to State law and the ACSA's policy, Mr.
18	Charles Tolbert is participating in the meeting remotely from his home in
19	Albemarle County due to a temporary medical condition.
20	Mr. Parcells moved to approve Charles Tolbert's remote
21	participation in the June 19, 2025, Board of Directors meeting;
22	seconded by Ms. Palmer. The Chair asked for a roll-call vote: Mr.
23	Parcells, aye; Ms. Palmer, aye; Mr. Armstrong, aye; Mr. Roberts, aye;
24	Ms. Swanson, aye.
25	
26	2. Recognitions
27	Mr. Armstrong stated that today is a special recognition in honor of
28	David Hensley and his 40 years of service with the Albemarle County Service
29	Authority. He stated that he would now read the recognition resolution for
30	Mr. Hensley.

1 2

3 4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23 24

25 26

27

28

29 30

Mr. Parcells moved to approve the recognition resolution, seconded by Ms. Palmer. All members voted aye.

Mr. Lynn came forward to share a few words about Mr. Hensley. He stated that Mr. Hensley began his career with the ACSA in 1980, in the maintenance department. He stated that Mr. Hensley worked for 9 years with the ACSA before leaving in December 1989 to take a job as a zoning inspector with the County of Albemarle. He mentioned that Mr. Hensley served in that role for about five years but returned to the ACSA in June 1994. He noted that this is when he became a construction inspector and has served in that role for over 30 years.

Mr. Lynn stated that David Hensley brings a wealth of knowledge and experience to the team and plays a critical role in training the next generation of inspectors. He mentioned that Mr. Hensley has worked on numerous ACSA projects including Bellair, Farmington and Buckingham Circle water projects, as well as Northfields and Fores Lakes sewer projects. He stated, however, that he is personally grateful for David's involvement in the Hessian Hills water main replacement project. He stated that this was a challenging project, and the work had to be performed at night. He noted that for nine months, Mr. Hensley's normal working hours were 8pm-5am Sunday through Friday. He stated that he was a project manager at the time and selfishly wanted the most experienced and qualified inspector assigned to the project. He stated that David was able to handle everything the project threw his way, and knowing Mr. Hensley was out there literally allowed him to sleep at night. He added that, on behalf of the ACSA, he wanted to extend his sincere thanks to Mr. Hensley and hopes that the organization can rely on his experience for several more years to come.

Ms. Palmer asked how Mr. Hensley managed to stay up all night long during the Hessian Hills project. Mr. Hensley replied that he was never one to get eight hours of sleep anyway. He stated that he would sleep during the day when he could, but it was not always easy with new grandchildren and

family activities. He stated that overall, it was not terrible, but he would not want to do it again.

3 4

1

2

3. Approve Minutes of May 15, 2025

5 6

7 8

9

10 11

12 13

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22

24

25

23

26

27 28

29

30 31

Mr. Parcells stated that he had a couple of questions. He stated that on page 8 of the Board packet, there is a discussion from last meeting about I&I and the great timing of the installation of the new meter. He asked if they were able to get data and if the prevention is taking place the way they hoped it would. Mr. Lynn replied that they have not seen that data yet, but he will put it on the list of items to try and get before the next Board meeting.

Mr. Parcells stated that on page 17 of the packet, lines 10-12, he asked how the operating budget increased by \$1 million because the numbers did not add up. He noted that Mr. Lunsford replied that he would reconcile those numbers and get back to him, but he had not heard anything. Mr. Lunsford replied that it is reconciled in the presentation that is scheduled for later on in the meeting. He noted, however, that he was incorrect in what he shared last meeting but would elaborate on that later in the meeting.

Mr. Parcells moved to approve the minutes of May 15, 2025; seconded by Ms. Palmer. All members voted aye.

4. Matters from the Public

Hunter Wood with United Land Corp came forward to address the Board. He stated that he first wanted to congratulate David Hensley on his service recognition. He mentioned that Mr. Hensley has inspected tens of thousands of feet of pipe that he has installed over the last 38 years and it was awesome to work with him.

Mr. Wood stated that he wanted to address the Board today concerning the Airport Trunk Sewer project. He stated that he has been waiting for this project to begin, and the County has not been very nice to him. He mentioned that he has a piece of property that he cannot develop because there is no sewer capacity, yet the County continues to increase

his taxes. He mentioned that Mr. Lynn was kind enough to write a letter to the County a couple of years ago, after the ACSA extended the start date of the project. He stated that Mr. Lynn wrote another letter this year, but the County would not give him any tax relief since the projected start date of the project had not moved from the last time. He stated that he is being taxed pretty high on the Hollymead Town Center property, and he cannot put any units in the ground. He stated that he is here today to ask the Board to push that project along. He noted that Mr. Lynn said the ACSA is still waiting for some easements, but he is asking if they can get the project into the pipeline quicker.

5. Response to Public Comment

Ms. Swanson asked if this was part of a special exemption permit process. Mr. Wood replied no. He stated that he has an approved site plan to build units, but he cannot because he has no sewer. Ms. Swanson asked if it is a jurisdictional boundary issue. Mr. Wood replied no. He stated that there is a sewer line that runs from Forest Lakes to the Charlottesville-Albemarle Airport that he physically put in himself 30 years ago. He mentioned that with new zoning, the line is technically at capacity. He stated that Hollymead Towne Center was approved around 2006-2007. He stated that he basically built a sewer line and now is being prevented from having sewer on his property. He noted that the Airport Trunk Sewer project has been in the ACSA's CIP for 5-7 years and is now being pushed out again to FY 2027. He stated that he has been patient, but he cannot continue to wait.

Mr. Parcells asked for clarification on what action Mr. Woods wanted the ACSA Board to take. Mr. Woods stated that he wants the sewer project moved up on the timeline. He stated that the tax assessment is being increased on his property, and he is not able to develop the property because he cannot get a permit from the County without sewer. He mentioned that he understands that the ACSA has had issues with obtaining the required easements for the project, but he thinks at some point they need to just

condemn those properties because the project cannot keep getting kicked down the road.

Ms. Swanson asked Mr. Wood what the primary response is that he has received from the County. Mr. Wood replied that he went to the Albemarle County Board of Equalization on Monday and they raised his assessment from last year. He stated that, in his opinion, the project is at a standstill, but the County can condemn the land and fight the litigation later for those property owners that do not want to give the easements. Ms. Swanson asked if Mr. Wood's issue is with another private property owner. Mr. Wood replied no. He stated that his issue is with the sewer line not being upgraded yet. He noted that once a sewer line is at a certain capacity, it has to be replaced. He stated that he thinks it is the ACSA's duty to move forward with this project, but it keeps getting postponed.

Mr. Derdeyn, ACSA attorney, stated that what he gathers from this is that Mr. Woods' property, and others, is being taxed as if it is developable. He stated, however, that the sewer line expansion has not occurred and as a result, his property is not developable. Mr. Armstrong asked if the project is being delayed because of the easements, which take a long time. Mr. Derdeyn replied yes, but Mr. Lynn would know better.

Mr. Lynn stated that currently, the ACSA has obtained 14 out of the 24 easements required. He stated that two employees are going out today to get two more easements signed, so they hope to be at 16 by noon. He noted that they have a couple of verbal agreements but getting them to sign the easement document has proven to be difficult. He mentioned that there are three or four they feel may not grant the easement, which is when the staff would ask the Board to approve a resolution for condemnation. He added that the project has continued to be pushed out by a year each year but after realizing the impact on Mr. Wood and meeting with the Board of Equalization last year, the ACSA made a commitment to not let it slide in this budget cycle. He noted that the project has remained at the construction date of FY 2027 since that time. He stated that the ACSA will need to figure out if

there is a way to construct a portion of the project to get Mr. Wood adequate sewer capacity. He stated that he thinks they need a sit-down meeting with Mr. Wood to better understand what he needs and his timeline, so that perhaps they can begin the project concurrently with his site plan process.

Mr. Wood stated that he has done this type of work his entire life and understands that things take time, but it is at the point where there has been enough time. He stated that if he knew the project was definitely going to start, he could concurrently apply for permits to build. He mentioned that it is probably a two-year project to complete the sewer line.

Mr. Parcells stated that it strikes him that the County will not allow Mr. Wood to develop the land without sewer, but they are not willing to work with the ACSA to facilitate obtaining the easements to move the project forward. Mr. Lynn stated that the County has granted the easement that the ACSA needed on their property. He stated that from the County's perspective, this is an ACSA challenge to obtain the remaining required easements. Mr. Derdeyn added that it is the ACSA that has condemnation authority. He stated that, as Mr. Lynn was saying, the ACSA staff would come before the Board and ask for approval before beginning the condemnation process. Mr. Parcells asked if the County had any responsibility or need to act with respect to the ACSA obtaining an easement or condemning a property. Ms. Palmer replied no. Mr. Derdeyn stated that the County's only part in this is taxing Mr. Wood on the property.

Mr. Armstrong stated that it sounds like Mr. Wood has two problems – the ACSA getting the easements and the County taxing his property. He stated that it sounds like he needs to speak with the County as well. Mr. Wood replied that he just went to the County on Monday and they could not help him. Mr. Armstrong stated that when, and if, the condemnation request comes before the Board, they will hear it. He noted that since he has been on the ACSA Board, there has not been a time when they have not supported condemnation. He thanked Mr. Wood for coming to speak to the Board today and bringing this important issue to their attention.

Mr. Roberts stated that he wanted to make sure Mr. Wood understands that the ACSA has condemnation authority. Mr. Lynn replied that Mr. Wood understands that. He stated that he thinks Mr. Wood has been patient, but his patience is running thin, and he is ready for the project to move forward. Ms. Swanson asked where the parcels that still need easements are located in relation to Mr. Wood's property. She stated that she recalls Mr. Lynn saying earlier that perhaps the project could be done piecemeal and move forward if they have the easements that impact Mr. Wood's development. Mr. Lynn replied that all of the easements needed are east of Route 29, behind the Forest Lakes neighborhood. He stated that it is not ideal for the community to complete the project in pieces, as they are not going to want the ACSA to come back multiple times. He noted that this is the challenge they are faced with. He added that they will continue to look at it and will probably have another meeting with Mr. Wood to see if there is a solution to get part of his development underway.

Mr. Parcells asked if there is a homeowner's association (HOA) that could centralize negotiations on the easements. Mr. Lynn stated that there is an HOA that has been very helpful and has granted the ACSA one of the two easements they need. He stated that the ACSA has not asked for the second one because they are waiting on some design revisions to see if the easement limits need to change. He mentioned that the ACSA is in contact with all 10 of the property owners, and most of the interactions have been positive. He noted that there is one that has been difficult, and they have a site meeting with that property owner tomorrow morning. He added that this project is not important to them, so getting them to commit to a time and sign the agreement has proved to be challenging.

Mr. Parcells asked what the timing is for the ACSA staff to plan in terms of asking the board for condemnation, if it comes to that. Mr. Lynn replied, unless the Board wants to speed up the process, the ACSA was planning to wait until fall to see if they are able to get more easements. He stated that he would rather approach the Board about 3 or 4 condemnations

than all 10. He noted that if the Board wanted the ACSA to ramp things up and apply pressure to the property owners, they could do that. He mentioned that once they do that, however, the ACSA begins to lose trust with the property owners which creates more challenges. Mr. Armstrong added that Mr. Lynn has been on the ground with this for years and he thinks the Board should stay away from micromanaging his job just because one person complained. Ms. Palmer added that the entire situation has a long history.

6. <u>Consent Agenda</u>

- a. Monthly Financial Reports Ms. Swanson asked the staff to remind her what the new CIS system will be for. Ms. Johnson replied that it will be the new billing system.
- b. Monthly Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Report Mr. Parcells referenced the Fontaine Research Park parking garage, and asked why we would supply water to a parking garage. Mr. Lynn replied that there are some fire hydrants around that facility, as well as a fire sprinkler system within the structure. Mr. Parcells stated that the garage seems to be at a higher elevation and asked if there was some type of pump system that will have to be provided. Mr. Lynn replied that Fontaine Research Park will have a pump system. He noted that the ACSA's pressure will not be adequate to provide flow 7 stories up, so the pump will be on their private system.

Ms. Swanson stated that she had a question about the Townwood project on page 73. She asked Mr. Lynn to help her understand the issue with recording where property is. Mr. Lynn replied that he learned yesterday that the County owns Townwood Drive, which is the main road that comes into the neighborhood. Ms. Swanson asked if he was talking about Townwood Drive that comes off of Hydraulic Road and goes all the way down to the former Einstein School. Mr. Lynn replied yes, at least the portion of the road up to where it splits to the townhomes. He stated that ACSA believes they need two easements, one from the County and the other from the Townwood HOA.

Ms. Palmer asked how common this is and why that section of the road was not transferred to VDOT's ownership. Mr. Lynn replied that he does not know if it was built to VDOT's standards. He stated that the internal roads are all privately owned and the responsibility of the HOA. He mentioned that he thinks that Townwood Drive is one that left the HOA responsibility but slipped through the cracks and was never turned over to VDOT. He stated that he assumes the HOA has been maintaining the road. Mr. Derdeyn stated that according to a 1980 subdivision plat that lists Townwood Drive, which was then referred to as Greenbrier Drive, as dedicated to the public.

- c. Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Project Close-Outs -
- d. Monthly Maintenance Update -

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

e. IT Monthly Update – Mr. Parcells stated that the graphic for the SCADA and other alarm systems is interesting but shows that May had a huge bump in alerts compared to other months. Ms. Walker replied that the pump station alerts were due to a communications issue Verizon had at Ashcroft. She stated that the alerts at the Operations Center were normal alerts. Mr. Parcells asked what kind of alerts they were. Ms. Walker replied that some of them are glass break alarms, which they are currently in the process of replacing. She mentioned that glass break alarms are triggered by decibels of sound, so they can be set off by things like dropping a set of keys. She mentioned that the current alarm system update will eliminate the glass break sensers and increase the number of motion detectors. She added that this update has already been done at the ACSA Maintenance Warehouse and there has been a large decrease in the number of nuisance alarms. Mr. Parcells asked if the vendor for the project was the same one that was remarked about doing the upgrade for free. Ms. Walker replied yes. She stated that they supply all of the equipment for the upgrade for free.

Mr. Parcells stated that his next question was about the phone system upgrade discussion on the next page. He stated that it says the new phone system will introduce enhanced features powered by Al,

1	offering even greater functionality and insights. He asked if Ms. Walker
2	could elaborate on that. Ms. Walker replied that they are waiting for a full
3	demonstration on everything from the provider, but it does have an Al
4	feature that will take notes for you and tell you the person's emotional
5	state on the call as well. She mentioned that it has a lot of other features
6	that they still need to demonstrate to determine which ones they will be
7	implementing. Ms. Palmer stated that it sounds dangerous. Mr. Parcells
8	stated that there was a recent survey in The Post that compared four
9	different chat bots for the AI intelligence assessing documents and they
10	all failed compared to a human. He asked the staff to please proceed with
11	due caution.
12	f. Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) Monthly Update –
13	g. ACSA Board Policy Future Issues Agenda 2025
14	h. Water and Wastewater Professionals Appreciation Day –
15	Ms. Palmer moved to approve the consent agenda, seconded by
16	Mr. Parcells. The Chair asked for a roll-call vote: Mr. Parcells, aye; Ms.
17	Palmer, aye; Mr. Armstrong, aye; Mr. Roberts, aye; Ms. Swanson, aye;
18	Mr. Tolbert, aye.
19	
20	7. Public Hearing for Comments on Proposed FY 2026 Budget and
21	<u>Rates</u>
22	Mr. Armstrong opened the public hearing for comments on the
23	Proposed FY 2026 Budget and Rates. Hearing no comment from the public,
24	the chair closed the public hearing.
25	
26	8. Adoption of Proposed FY 2026 Budget, Rates, and CIP
27	Tanya Johnson, Director of Finance, came forward to give a
28	presentation on the proposed FY 2026 Budget and rates (Attached as
29	Pages). She stated that she would walk through where the ACSA
30	currently stands as they close out FY 2025, how the upcoming FY 2026
31	budget supports the ACSA's strategic goals, and the key drivers behind the

proposed rates and system connection changes. She mentioned that this presentation is also an opportunity to share how the ACSA is managing increased costs, especially for water and sewer treatment, and how the organization is utilizing reserves to ease the impact on its customers.

Ms. Johnson stated that she would begin with a quick update on performance in FY 2025 and how it sets the stage for next year. She stated that she would then walk the Board through the FY 2026 budget in the context of the ACSA's Strategic Plan, as well as review rate and system development charge recommendations, the CIP, and budget next steps.

Ms. Johnson stated that water and sewer revenues are trending above budget, which shows a solid demand and good forecasting. She stated that on the expense side, water costs came in higher while sewer and overall departmental spending were well below budget. She stated that this reflects strong cost control and careful management across all departments, helping the ACSA to enter FY 2026 in a strong position.

Ms. Johnson stated that the ACSA's FY 2026 budget continues to support the Strategic Plan adopted in 2023. She noted that the four strategic themes of the plan are data optimization, business resilience, customer experience, and employee experience. She mentioned that the ACSA is investing in systems, people, and processes that align with the organization's goals, planning ahead for needs as opposed to reacting to them.

Ms. Johnson transitioned into the proposed rates for FY 2026. She stated that she would look at what is driving the need for increases and how the ACSA is managing the responsibility. She stated that an 8.9% increase in customer rates is being recommended for FY 2026, which follows increases in the prior three years necessary to keep pace with escalating treatment and capital costs. She mentioned that they are also proposing an increase in system development charges from \$14,430 to \$15,000, with the last increase being in FY 2024. She noted that when compared to peer utilities, ACSA's approach to system connection charges is consistent with

those preparing for long-term growth while staying financially stable. She added that the ACSA continues to align with industry standards and use best practices.

Ms. Johnson stated that the proposed budget relies on reserve funds to balance needs without putting all the pressure on customer rates. She stated that the budget prioritizes service, quality, and critical infrastructure.

Ms. Johnson stated that on the expense side, the ACSA's largest increase comes for operational cost drivers and capital projects. She noted that one of the largest cost drivers this year is the increase in treatment and capital costs from RWSA, which is up nearly 19% for both water and sewer. She mentioned that these external costs significantly impact the ACSA's budget needs. She stated that the total departmental operating budget will increase by 7%, with four new positions proposed to support operations and safety.

Ms. Johnson stated that using reserves softens rate increases for customers. She stated that by tapping into both growth and stabilization reserves, the ACSA is managing responsibility and helping to shield customers from more significant rate increases. She stated that while there is an increase, it is moderate and justified by necessary system costs.

Ms. Johnson stated that the FY 2026 CIP includes critical projects for system reliability and growth. She stated that the ACSA continues to balance approach, timing, and funding.

Ms. Johnson stated that in terms of next steps, the staff requests that the ACSA Board consider adoption of the proposed FY 206 budget and rates.

Mr. Parcells stated that his only comment is that he thinks the budget includes a good use of reserves to manage the increases. Ms. Palmer stated that at the last meeting, Ms. Johnsons stated "sound financial management and a growing system provides the opportunity to smoothly increase customer rates over time." She stated that this statement reads as if the growing system is part of what allows the ACSA to smooth rates over

time. She asked if there was no growth at all, would it be harder to smoothly increase customer rates. She noted that it sounds like the ACSA needs a growing system in order to take care of its finances, and she does not think that is supposed to be the case.

Mr. Lunsford stated that RWSA is going to charge the ACSA \$388,000 per month for Crozet, regardless if the area uses maximum capacity or half of that. He stated that where there is system growth and fixed charges, the growth in that system does help to offset the necessary rate increases that would otherwise have to happen. He noted that the ACSA is able to keep rates lower if they can sell more water, so a growing system does help in that regard.

Ms. Palmer stated that she was surprised to see the large cost for sewer from RWSA. She stated that so much focus has been put on the water supply plan, but they cannot forget the sewer. She noted that in the past, the sewer costs used to be the driver, but it is water now.

Mr. Parcells stated that there have been remarks in the past about whether or not to get a bond issued for the Avon Street project. Ms. Johnson replied that Davenport & Co. is still gathering information, so they have not compiled everything yet. She stated that they hope to have something from them soon. Mr. Lunsford added that it is not an immediate need. He stated that they are looking into the future and ensuring that adequate reserves are maintained to be able to analyze other financing options should they need it.

Mr. Roberts stated that the connection fees were increased by \$570 and asked how they arrived at that number. Mr. Lunsford replied that as part of the rate study process, the ACSA looked at growth and non-growth-related charges from RWSA's and ACSA's CIP. He stated that in doing so, they identified the need to increase the system connection fees. He mentioned that this increase helps to mitigate some of the growth-related projects on the immediate horizon and provides an opportunity to analyze whether or not they are adequately covering growth pays for growth in the

future. He noted that this charge could change next year after a full thirdparty rate study in FY 2026.

Ms. Palmer asked if the same formula was being used. She stated that during her first stint on the Board, there was a very specific formula used for the rate study. Mr. Lunsford replied that it is very likely that they will use the same formula. He mentioned that different firms use different methodologies, most of which follow AWWA recommendations. He stated that the firm the ACSA has used for at least the last three rate studies uses the system buy-in method, the incremental cost method, and a hybrid method that is a combination of the two and the method that the ACSA uses. He noted that if the ACSA ends up with the same firm as in the past, the method will likely be very similar.

Mr. Roberts asked about the \$570 specifically, which is the difference between the old system connection charge and the proposed new charge. Mr. Lunsford replied that it is about a 4% increase. Mr. Roberts asked how much the system connection fee was increased in FY 2024. Mr. Lunsford replied that he did not recall. Mr. Roberts stated that he was asking because the Board has seen more objection about connection fees in years past than other charges, and he wanted to ensure the ACSA had an answer for the public. Mr. Parcells noted that his recollection was that the connection fees were raised about \$1,000 or more. Mr. Lunsford stated that he would check on that.

Mr. Parcells asked, in terms of timing, if the rate study would be done in time for the budget process next year. Mr. Lunsford replied yes. Ms. Johnson stated that they would begin the RFP process next month.

Ms. Swanson asked how much public feedback has been received about the rates. Ms. Johnson replied that there has been none that she is aware of. Ms. Swanson asked if those that have historically been part of this process know if that is typical. She stated, in other words, she is asking if they are seeing less and less engagement. Mr. Lunsford replied that he would not say less engagement, but he thinks their outreach efforts, like

newsletters and social media posts, help explain the need for some of these changes. He mentioned that over the last 10 years, maybe 2 or 3 customers would make their way to him to discuss rates or methodology.

Ms. Swanson stated that in the past, Gary O'Connell made himself available to speak with citizen groups. She asked if Mr. Lunsford has ever been asked to go speak with a citizen group or HOA to discuss things like the CIP or rate structure. Mr. Lunsford replied that Mr. Lynn does a great job of outreach with projects, but they can always do more. He added that they have not been asked to come speak with any groups but would certainly do so if they asked.

Mr. Roberts stated that when the Board used to meet upstairs, the room was full of people with questions and concerns. He stated that when he became Board Chair, he asked Mr. O'Connell to go out into the community and meet with HOA's and various groups to explain the budget and answer their questions. He noted that the staff has continued to do that, and he believes that is why there is no public present today.

Ms. Palmer stated that the population that is pushing the public advocacy around rates has changed. She stated that it used to be developers pushing to lower the rates. She mentioned that now it is more affordable housing advocates pushing to reduce connection fees in an attempt to reduce the cost of building.

Mr. Roberts moved to approve the resolution adopting Proposed FY 2026 Operating and Capital Improvement Budget and Rates, which includes the rate schedule, to be effective July 1, 2025, seconded by Ms. Palmer. The Chair asked for a roll-call vote: Mr. Parcells, aye; Ms. Palmer, aye; Mr. Tolbert, aye; Mr. Armstrong, aye; Ms. Swanson, aye; Mr. Tolbert, aye.

Adoption of Proposed Amendments to Personnel Management Plan
Ms. Roach stated that she has provided a summary in the Board packet of the overall changes. She mentioned that some of the changes.

like the new positions, have already been approved with the adoption of the FY 2026 budget. She stated that she would be happy to answer any questions the Board may have.

Mr. Parcells stated that he already spoke with Ms. Roach prior to the meeting, and she is going to provide him some information on some of the employee benefits, specifically matching employee's retirement contributions and their health benefits. He stated that they seem openended and hugely rewarding. He stated that secondly, there was wording in the performance evaluation section of the PMP that he feels could be improved. He stated that he would have to find the section and rephrase it, but he would send it to Ms. Roach at a later date.

Ms. Palmer asked if Mr. Parcell's first question was about the healthcare. Mr. Parcells replied yes, and the retirement savings. He stated that the PMP just states that the ACSA will match savings and covers healthcare costs, but he wants to know what percentage. Ms. Palmer asked if the specifics were something that needed to be included in the document. Ms. Roach replied that the specifics are captured in the benefits section within each department's budget and are approved as part of the overall budget. She stated that this way, they do not have to update the manual every time those numbers change.

Mr. Roberts moved to approve the resolution, adopting the proposed amendments to the ACSA Personnel Management Plan, seconded by Mr. Parcells. The Chair asked for a roll-call vote: Mr. Parcells, aye; Ms. Palmer, aye; Mr. Armstrong, aye; Mr. Roberts, aye; Ms. Swanson, aye; Mr. Tolbert, aye.

10. Items Not on the Agenda

Mr. Roberts stated that he had a question about an email regarding lowering the water level at Sugar Hollow. He asked if that was to increase the water flow in the Moorman's River. Mr. Lunsford replied that the water has been lowered three feet to perform maintenance related to the bladder

issue. He stated that the work is scheduled to be completed at the end of June. Mr. Roberts asked if the bladder was damaged during a storm. Mr. Lunsford replied no. He stated that it was due to mechanical failure and RWSA has done some enhancements to prevent a failure like that in the future.

Ms. Palmer stated that concerning the permitting, every 15 years the permit has to be reissued. She stated that there has been some confusion and tension with respect to the fact that there is a public comment period right now. Ms. Swanson asked why this is a 2023 permit. Ms. Palmer replied that the permit was from 2008-2023. Mr. Lunsford stated that the permitting process is slow and years long. Ms. Palmer stated that it takes a long time to get all of the information together and get approval.

Mr. Lunsford stated that Ms. Walker got some interesting information this morning and asked her to share it with the Board. Ms. Walker came forward to let the Board know that the ACSA reached a major milestone. She stated that, as of this morning, the GIS system confirmed that the ACSA has exceeded 700 miles of water and sewer mains. She noted that this includes 380 miles of water mains and over 320 sewer mains that serve our community. She added that even more impactful, the staff now has immediate access digitally to the attribute data of all 700 miles of assets. She noted a fun fact- the ACSA's oldest in-service main is 100 years old. Ms. Palmer asked how public this information is. Ms. Walker replied that the ACSA is very limited in sharing asset data.

11. Executive Session

The Chair read a Resolution to enter into Executive Session pursuant to Virginia Code §2.2-3711 A (1) to discuss a personnel matter (Attached as Page).

Mr. Parcells moved to approve the Resolution as presented to the Board; seconded by Ms. Swanson. The Chair asked for a roll-

ı	call vote: wr. Parcens, aye; ws. Palmer, aye; wr. Armstrong, aye; wr.
2	Roberts, aye; Ms. Swanson, aye.
3	The Board of Directors came back into regular session. The Chair
4	read into record a Resolution stating that only matters so previously stated
5	and exempted from open discussion in regular session were discussed in
6	Executive Session (Attached as Page).
7	Mr. Parcells moved to approve the Resolution as presented
8	to the Board, seconded by Mr. Roberts. The Chair asked for a roll-
9	call vote: Mr. Parcells, aye; Ms. Palmer, aye; Mr. Armstrong aye; Mr.
10	Roberts, aye; Ms. Swanson, aye; Mr. Tolbert, aye.
11	
12	12. <u>Adjourn</u>
13	There being no further business, Mr. Parcells moved that the
14	meeting be adjourned, seconded by Ms. Palmer. All members voted
15	aye.
16	
17	Quin Lunsford, Secretary-Treasurer